

On Grothendieck—Serre’s conjecture concerning principal G -bundles over reductive group schemes:II

Ivan Panin *

30.10.2009

Abstract

Let R be a regular semi-local domain containing an **infinite perfect subfield** and let K be its field of fractions. Let G be a reductive R -group scheme satisfying a mild "isotropy condition". Then each principal G -bundle P which becomes trivial over K is trivial itself. If R is of geometric type, then it suffices to assume that R is of geometric type over an infinite field. Two main Theorems of Panin’s, Stavrova’s and Vavilov’s [PSV] state the same results for semi-simple simply connected R -group schemes. Our proof is heavily based on those two Theorems of [PSV, Thm.1.1], on the main result of [C-T/S] and **on two purity Theorems** proven in the present preprint.

One of that purity results looks as follows. Given a smooth R -group scheme morphism $\mu : G \rightarrow C$ of reductive R -group schemes, with a torus C one can form a functor from R -algebras to abelian groups $S \mapsto \mathcal{F}(S) := C(S)/\mu(G(S))$. Assuming additionally that the kernel $\ker(\mu)$ of μ is a reductive R -group scheme, we prove that this functor satisfies a purity theorem for R . If R is of geometric type, then it suffices to assume that R is of geometric type over an arbitrary infinite field.

Examples to mentioned purity results are considered in the very end of the preprint.

1 Introduction

Recall [D-G, Exp. XIX, Defn.2.7] that an R -group scheme G is called reductive (respectively, semi-simple; respectively, simple), if it is affine and smooth as an R -scheme and if, moreover, for each ring homomorphism $s : R \rightarrow \Omega(s)$ to an algebraically closed field $\Omega(s)$, its scalar extension $G_{\Omega(s)}$ is connected and reductive (respectively, semi-simple; respectively, simple) algebraic group over $\Omega(s)$. *Stress that all the groups $G_{\Omega(s)}$ are connected.* The class of reductive group schemes contains the class of semi-simple group schemes which in turn contains the class of simple group schemes. This notion of a simple R -group scheme coincides with the notion of a simple semi-simple R -group scheme from

*The author acknowledges support of the joint DFG - RFBR-project 09-01-91333-NNIO-a

Demazure—Grothendieck [D-G, Exp. XIX, Defn. 2.7 and Exp. XXIV, 5.3]. *Throughout the paper R denotes an integral domain and G denotes a reductive R -group scheme, unless explicitly stated otherwise.*

A well-known conjecture due to J.-P. Serre and A. Grothendieck [Se, Remarque, p.31], [Gr1, Remarque 3, p.26-27], and [Gr2, Remarque 1.11.a] asserts that given a regular local ring R and its field of fractions K and given a reductive group scheme G over R the map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G),$$

induced by the inclusion of R into K , has trivial kernel.

Let R be a semi-local ring and G be a reductive group scheme over R . Recall an "isotropy condition" for G .

- (I) *Each R -simple component of the derived group G_{der} of the group G is isotropic over R .*

The hypotheses (I) means more precicely the following : consider the derived group G_{der} of the group G and the simply-connected cover $G_{\text{der}}^{\text{sc}}$ of G_{der} ; that group $G_{\text{der}}^{\text{sc}}$ is a product over R (in a unique way) of R -indecomposable groups, which are required to be isotropic. The latter means that each of those R -indecomposable groups contains the torus $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$.

Theorem 1.0.1. *Let R be regular semi-local domain containing an infinite perfect field and let K be its field of fractions. Let G be a reductive R -group scheme satisfying the condition (I). Then the map*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G),$$

induced by the inclusion R into K , has trivial kernel.

In other words, under the above assumptions on R and G each principal G -bundle P over R which has a K -rational point is itself trivial.

- Clearly, this Theorem extends as the geometric case of the main result of J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc [C-T/S], so main results of I.Panin, A.Stavrova and N.Vavilov [PSV, Thm.1.1, Thm. 1.2]. However our proof of Theorem 1.0.1 **is based heavily on those results and on two purity results** (Theorems 1.0.3 and 1.0.4) proven in the present preprint.

- The case of arbitrary reductive group scheme over a discrete valuation ring is completely solved by Y.Nisnevich in [Ni].

- The case when G is an arbitrary tori over a regular local ring is done by J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc in [C-T/S].

- For simple group schemes of **classical** series this result follows from more general results established by the author, A. Suslin, M. Ojanguren and K. Zainoulline [PS], [OP], [Z], [OPZ], [Pa]. In fact, unlike our Theorem 1.0.1, **no isotropy hypotheses** was imposed

there. However our result is **new, say for simple adjoint groups of exceptional type** (and for many others).

- The case of **arbitrary** simple adjoint group schemes of type E_6 and E_7 is done by the first author, V.Petrov and A.Stavrova in [PaPS].

- There exists a folklore result, concerning type G_2 . It gives affirmative answer in this case, also independent of isotropy hypotheses, see the paper by V. Chernousov and the first author [ChP].

- The case of simple group schemes of type F_4 with the trivial g_3 -invariant is done recently by V.Chernousov [Ch].

- The case when the group scheme G comes from the ground field k is completely solved by J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, M. Ojanguren, M. S. Raghunatan and O. Gabber in [C-T/O], when k is perfect, in [R1]; O. Gabber announced a proof for a general ground field k .

A geometric counterpart of Theorem 1.0.1 is the following result

Theorem 1.0.2. *Let k be an infinite field. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the semi-local ring of finitely many points on a smooth irreducible k -variety X and let K be its field of fractions of $\mathcal{O}$. Let G be a reductive $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme satisfying the condition **(I)** above. Then the map*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G),$$

induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{O}$ into K , has trivial kernel.

In other words, under the above assumptions on R and G each principal G -bundle P over R which has a K -rational point is itself trivial.

To state our purity Theorems recall certain notions. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a covariant functor from the category of commutative R -algebras to the category of abelian groups. For any domain R consider the sequence

$$\mathcal{F}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{F}(K)/\mathcal{F}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

where $\mathfrak{p}$ runs over the height 1 primes of R and K is the field of fractions of R . We say that $\mathcal{F}$ *satisfies purity for R* if this sequence — which is clearly a complex — is exact. The purity for R is equivalent to the following property

$$\bigcap_{\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}=1} \text{Im}[\mathcal{F}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K)] = \text{Im}[\mathcal{F}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K)].$$

Theorem 1.0.3 (A). *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a semi-local ring of finitely many points on a k -smooth scheme X with an infinite field k . Let*

$$\mu : G \rightarrow C$$

be a smooth $\mathcal{O}$ -morphism of reductive $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes, with a torus C . Set $H = \ker(\mu)$ and suppose additionally that H is a reductive $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme. The functor

$$\mathcal{F} : S \mapsto C(S)/\mu(G(S))$$

defined on the category of $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras satisfies purity for $\mathcal{O}$.

Theorem 1.0.4 (B). *Let R be a regular semi-local domain containing an infinite perfect field k . Let*

$$\mu : G \rightarrow C$$

be a smooth R -group scheme morphism of reductive R -group schemes, with a torus C . Set $H = \ker(\mu)$ and suppose additionally that H is a reductive -group scheme. The functor

$$\mathcal{F} : S \mapsto C(S)/\mu(G(S))$$

defined on the category of R -algebras satisfies purity for R .

If K is the field of fractions of R , then this statement can be restated in an explicit way as follows: given an element $c \in C(K)$ suppose that for each height 1 prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in A there exist $a_{\mathfrak{p}} \in C(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$, $g_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G(K)$ with $a = a_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \mu(g_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in C(K)$. Then there exist $g_{\mathfrak{m}} \in G(K)$, $a_{\mathfrak{m}} \in C(R)$ such that

$$a = a_{\mathfrak{m}} \cdot \mu(g_{\mathfrak{m}}) \in C(K).$$

After the pioneering articles [C-T/P/S] and [R] on purity theorems for algebraic groups, various versions of purity theorems were proved in [C-T/O], [PS], [Z], [Pa]. The most general result in the so called constant case was given in [Z, Exm.3.3]. This result follows now from our Theorem (A) by taking G to be a k -rational reductive group, $C = \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ and $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ a dominant k -group morphism. The papers [PS], [Z], [Pa] contain results for the nonconstant case. However they only consider specific examples of algebraic scheme morphisms $\mu : G \rightarrow C$.

It seems plausible to expect purity theorem in the following context. Let R be a regular local ring. Let $\mu : G \rightarrow T$ be a smooth of reductive R -group schemes with an R -torus T . Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the covariant functor from the category of commutative rings to the category of abelian groups given by $S \mapsto T(S)/\mu(G(S))$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ should satisfies purity for R .

Another functor satisfying purity is described by the formulae (33) in Section 12.

Stress that **we use transfers** for the functor $R \mapsto C(R)$, but **we do not use at all the norm principle** for the homomorphism $\mu : G \rightarrow C$.

The preprint is organized as follows. In Section 2 we construct norm maps following a method from [SuVo, Sect.6]. In Section 3 we prove the main geometric Lemma 3.0.8. In Section 4 we discuss unramified elements. A key point here is Lemma 4.0.13. In Section 5 we discuss specialization maps. A key point here is Corollary 5.0.19. In Section 7 certain Artin's results are generalized. In Section 8 a convenient technical tool is introduced. In Section 10 Theorem (A) is proved. In Section 11 Theorem (B) is proved. In Section 12 we consider the functor (33) and prove a purity Theorem 12.0.36 for that functor. In Section 13 Theorems 1.0.1 and 1.0.2 are proved. Finally in Section 14 we collect several examples **illustrating our Purity Theorems**. There are plenty arguments which are copied from [PSV].

Acknowledgments The author thanks very much M.Ojanguren for useful discussions concerning Theorems A and B obtained in the present preprint. The author thanks

very much N.Vavilov and A.Stavrova. Long discussions with them over our joint work [PSV] resulted unexpectedly to me with the present preprint. The author thanks very much J.-L. Colliot-Thélène for his critical comments concerning the first version of this preprint.

2 Norms

Let $k \subset K \subset L$ be field extensions and assume that L is finite separable over K . Let K^{sep} be a separable closure of K and

$$\sigma_i : K \rightarrow K^{sep}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

the different embeddings of K into L . As in §1, let C be a commutative algebraic group scheme defined over k . We can define a norm map

$$\mathcal{N}_{L/K} : C(L) \rightarrow C(K)$$

by

$$\mathcal{N}_{L/K}(\alpha) = \prod_i C(\sigma_i)(\alpha) \in C(K^{sep})^{\mathcal{G}(K)} = C(K) .$$

Following Suslin and Voevodsky [SuVo, Sect.6] we generalize this construction to finite flat ring extensions. Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite flat morphism of affine schemes. Suppose that its rank is constant, equal to d . Denote by $S^d(X/Y)$ the d -th symmetric power of X over Y .

Lemma 2.0.5. *There is a canonical section*

$$\mathcal{N}_{X/Y} : Y \rightarrow S^d(X/Y)$$

which satisfies the following three properties:

- (1) *Base change: for any map $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$ of affine schemes, putting $X' = X \times_Y Y'$ we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_{X'/Y'}} & S^d(X'/Y') \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow S^d(\text{Id}_X \times f) \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_{X/Y}} & S^d(X/Y) \end{array}$$

- (2) *Additivity: If $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y$ and $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y$ are finite flat morphisms of degree d_1 and d_2 respectively, then, putting $X = X_1 \amalg X_2$, $f = f_1 \amalg f_2$ and $d = d_1 + d_2$, we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{d_1}(X_1/Y) \times S^{d_2}(X_2/Y) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & S^d(X/Y) \\ & \nwarrow \mathcal{N}_{X_1/Y} \times \mathcal{N}_{X_2/Y} \quad \nearrow \mathcal{N}_{X/Y} & \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

where σ is the canonical imbedding.

(3) *Normalization:* If $X = Y$ and p is the identity, then $N_{X/Y}$ is the identity.

Proof. We construct a map $N_{X/Y}$ and check that it has the desired properties. Let $B = k[X]$ and $A = k[Y]$, so that B is a locally free A -module of finite rank d . Let $B^{\otimes d} = B \otimes_A B \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A B$ be the d -fold tensor product of B over A . The permutation group $\mathfrak{S}_d$ acts on $B^{\otimes d}$ by permuting the factors. Let $S_A^d(B) \subseteq B^{\otimes d}$ be the A -algebra of all the $\mathfrak{S}_d$ -invariant elements of $B^{\otimes d}$. We consider $B^{\otimes d}$ as an $S_A^d(B)$ -module through the inclusion $S_A^d(B) \subseteq B^{\otimes d}$ of A -algebras. Let I be the kernel of the canonical homomorphism $B^{\otimes d} \rightarrow \bigwedge_A^d(B)$ mapping $b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_d$ to $b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_d$. It is well-known (and easily checked locally on A) that I is generated by all the elements $x \in B^{\otimes d}$ such that $\tau(x) = x$ for some transposition τ . If s is in $S_A^d(B)$, then $\tau(sx) = \tau(s)\tau(x) = sx$, hence sx is in $S^d(B)$ too. In other words, I is an $S_A^d(B)$ -submodule of $B^{\otimes d}$. The induced $S_A^d(B)$ -module structure on $\bigwedge_A^d(B)$ defines an A -algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi : S_A^d(B) \rightarrow \text{End}_A\left(\bigwedge_A^d(B)\right).$$

Since B is locally free of rank d over A , $\bigwedge_A^d(B)$ is an invertible A -module and we can canonically identify $\text{End}_A(\bigwedge_A^d(B))$ with A . Thus we have a map

$$\varphi : S_A^d(B) \rightarrow A$$

and we define

$$N_{X/Y} : Y \rightarrow S^d(X/Y)$$

as the morphism of Y -schemes induced by φ . The verification of properties (1), (2) and (3) is straightforward. $\square$

Let k be an infinite field. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the semi-local ring of finitely many points on a smooth affine irreducible k -variety X . Let C be an affine smooth commutative $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme, Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite flat morphism of affine $\mathcal{O}$ -schemes and $f : X \rightarrow C$ any $\mathcal{O}$ morphism. We define the norm $N_{X/Y}(f)$ of f as the composite map

$$Y \xrightarrow{N_{X/Y}} S^d(X/Y) \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{O}}^d(X) \xrightarrow{S_{\mathcal{O}}^d(f)} S_{\mathcal{O}}^d(C) \xrightarrow{\times} C \quad (1)$$

Here we write " $\times$ " for the group law on C . The norm maps $N_{X/Y}$ satisfy the following conditions

- (1) Base change: for any map $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$ of affine schemes, putting $X' = X \times_Y Y'$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X) & \xrightarrow{(id \times f)^*} & C(X') \\ N_{X/Y} \downarrow & & \downarrow N_{X'/Y'} \\ C(Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & C(Y') \end{array}$$

(2) multiplicativity: if $X = X_1 \amalg X_2$ then the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X) & \xrightarrow{(id \times f)^*} & C(X_1) \times C(X_2) \\ N_{X/Y} \downarrow & & \downarrow N_{X_1/Y} N_{X_2/Y} \\ C(Y) & \xrightarrow{id} & C(Y) \end{array}$$

(3) normalization: if $X = Y$ and the map $X \rightarrow Y$ is the identity then $N_{X/Y} = id_{C(X)}$.

3 Geometric lemmas

The aim of this Section is to prove an analog of three Lemmas from [?] making them characteristic free. Lemma 3.0.8 is a refinement of [OP, Lemma 2].

Lemma 3.0.6. *Let k be an infinite field and let S be a k -smooth equi-dimensional k -algebra of dimension 1. Let $f \in S$ be a non-zero divisor*

Let $\mathfrak{m}_0$ be a maximal ideal with $S/\mathfrak{m}_0 = k$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n$ be different maximal ideals of S (it might be that $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{m}_i$ for an index i). Then there exists a non-zero divisor $\bar{s} \in S$ such that S is finite over $k[\bar{s}]$ and

- (1) *the ideals $\mathfrak{n}_i := \mathfrak{m}_i \cap k[\bar{s}]$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are all different from each other and different from $\mathfrak{n}_0 := \mathfrak{m}_0 \cap k[\bar{s}]$ provided that $\mathfrak{m}_0$ is different from $\mathfrak{m}_i$'s;*
- (2) *the extension $S/k[\bar{s}]$ is étale at each $\mathfrak{m}_i$'s and at $\mathfrak{m}_0$;*
- (3) *$k[\bar{s}]/\mathfrak{n}_i = S/\mathfrak{m}_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$;*
- (4) *$\mathfrak{n}_0 = \bar{s}k[\bar{s}]$.*

Proof. For each index i let x_i be the point on $\text{Spec}(S)$ corresponding to the ideal $\mathfrak{m}_i$. Consider a closed imbedding $\text{Spec}(S) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}_k^n$ and find a generic k -defined linear projection $p : \mathbf{A}_k^n \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_k^1$ to such that for each index i one has

- (1) for each $i \geq 0, j \geq 0$ one has $p(x_i) \neq p(x_j)$ provided that $x_i \neq x_j$;
- (2) for each index $i \geq 0$ the map $p|_{\text{Spec}(S)} : \text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ is étale at the point x_i ;
- (3) the separable degree extension $k(x_i)/k(p(x_i))$ is one.

With this in hand one gets for each the equality $k(p(x_i)) = k(x_i)$. In fact the extension $k(x_i)/k(p(x_i))$ is separable by the item (2). By the item (3) we conclude that $k(p(x_i)) = k(x_i)$. The Lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.0.7. *Under the hypotheses of Lemma 3.0.6 let $f \in S$ be a non-zero divisor which does not belong to a maximal ideal different of $\mathfrak{m}_0, \mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n$. Let $N(f) = N_{S/k[\bar{s}]}(f)$ be the norm of f . Then for an element $\bar{s} \in S$ satisfying (1) to (4) of Lemma 3.0.6 one has*

- (a) $N(f) = fg$ for an element $g \in S$;
- (b) $fS + gS = S$;
- (c) the map $k[\bar{s}]/(N(f)) \rightarrow S/(f)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. It is straightforward. □

Lemma 3.0.8. *Let R be a semi-local essentially smooth k -algebra with maximal ideals $\mathfrak{p}_i$'s, where i runs from 1 to r . Let $R[t] \subset A$ be an $R[t]$ -algebra which is smooth as an R -algebra and is finite over the polynomial algebra $R[t]$. Assume that for each index i the $R/\mathfrak{p}_i$ -algebra $A_i = A/\mathfrak{p}_i A$ is equi-dimensional of dimension one. Let $\epsilon : A \rightarrow R$ be an R -augmentation and $I = \ker(\epsilon)$. Given an $f \in A$ with $0 \neq \epsilon(f) \in R$ and such that the R -module A/fA is finite, one can find an $u \in A$ satisfying the following conditions*

- (1) A is finite projective as an $R[u]$ -module;
- (2) $A/uA = A/I \times A/J$ for certain ideal J ;
- (3) $J + fA = A$;
- (4) $(u - 1)A + fA = A$;
- (5) if $N(f) = N_{A/R[u]}(f)$, then $N(f) = fg \in A$ for certain $g \in A$
- (6) $fA + gA = A$;
- (7) the composition map $\varphi : R[u]/(N(f)) \rightarrow A/(N(f)) \rightarrow A/(f)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Replacing t by $t - \epsilon(t)$ we may assume that $\epsilon(t) = 0$. Since A is finite over $R[t]$ we conclude that it is a finite projective $R[t]$ -module by a theorem of Grothendieck [E, Cor.17.18]. Since A is finite over $R[t]$ and A/fA is finite over R we conclude that $R[t]/(N(f))$ is finite over R , hence $R/(tN(f))$ is finite over R too. So setting $v = tN(f)$ we get an integral extension A over $R[v]$ and one has equalities

$$v = tN_{A/R[t]}(f) = (ft)g = tfg.$$

We claim that $A/R[v]$ is integral, $\epsilon(v) = 0$ and $u \in fA$. In fact, $u = tN_{A/R[t]}(f) = t(fg)$ and whence $\epsilon(v) = \epsilon(t)\epsilon(fg) = 0$. Finally, and $v \in fA$.

We use below “bar” and lower script i to denote the reduction modulo the ideal $\mathfrak{p}_i A$. Let $l_i = \bar{R}_i = R/\mathfrak{p}_i$. By the assumption of the lemma the l_i -algebra $\bar{A}_i$ is an l_i -smooth equi-dimensional of dimension 1. Let $\mathfrak{m}_1^{(i)}, \mathfrak{m}_2^{(i)}, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n^{(i)}$ be different maximal ideals of $\bar{A}_i$ dividing $\bar{f}_i$ and let $\mathfrak{m}_0^{(i)} = \ker(\bar{\epsilon}_i)$. Let $\bar{s}_i \in \bar{A}_i$ be such that the extension $\bar{A}_i/l_i[\bar{s}_i]$ satisfies the conditions (1) to (4) of Lemma 3.0.6.

Let $s \in A$ be a common lift of $\bar{s}_i$'s, that is for each index i one has $\bar{s}_i = \bar{s}_i$ in $\bar{A}_i$. Replacing s by $s - \epsilon(s)$ we may assume that $\epsilon(s) = 0$ and still for each index i one has $\bar{s}_i = \bar{s}_i$.

Let $s^n + p_1(v)s^{n-1} + \dots + p_n(v) = 0$ be an integral dependence equation for s . Let N be an integer large than the $\max\{2, \deg(p_i(v))\}$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then for any $r \in k^\times$ the element $u = s - rv^N$ is such that v is integral over $R[u]$. Thus for any $r \in k^\times$ the ring R is integral over $A[u]$.

On the other hand for each index i the element $\bar{u}_i = \bar{s}_i - r\bar{v}_i^N$ still satisfies the conditions (1) to (4) of Lemma 3.0.6 because for each index $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ one has $\bar{v}_i \in \mathfrak{m}_j^{(i)}$.

We claim that the element $u \in R$ is the required element (for almost all $r \in k^\times$).

In fact, for almost all $r \in k^\times$ the element u satisfies the conditions (1) to (4) of Lemma [OP, Lemma 5.2]. It remains to show that the conditions (5) to (7) are satisfied for all $r \in k^\times$.

Since A is finite over $R[u]$ we conclude that it is a finite projective $R[u]$ -module by a theorem of Grothendieck [E, Cor.18.17] To prove (5) consider the characteristic polynomial of the operator $A \xrightarrow{f} A$ as an $R[u]$ -module operator. This polynomial vanishes on f and its free coefficient is $\pm N(f)$ (the norm of f). Thus $f^n - a_1 f^{n-1} + \dots \pm N(f) = 0$ and $N(f) = fg$ for an element $g \in R$.

To prove (6) one has to check that this g is a unit modulo the ideal fA . It suffices to check that for each index i the element $\bar{g}_i \in \bar{A}_i$ is a unit modulo the ideal $\bar{f}_i \bar{A}_i$. The field $l_i = R/\mathfrak{p}_i$, the l_i -algebra $S_i = \bar{A}_i$, its maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_0^{(i)}, \mathfrak{m}_1^{(i)}, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n^{(i)}$ and the element $\bar{u}_i$ satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 3.0.7 with u replaced by $\bar{u}_i$. Now $\bar{g}_i$ is a unit modulo the ideal $\bar{f}_i \bar{R}_j$ by the item (b) of Lemma 3.0.7.

To prove (7) note that $R[u]/(N_{A/k[X]}(f))$ are A/fA finite A -modules. So it remains to check that the map $\varphi : R[u]/(N_{A/k[X]}(f)) \rightarrow A/fA$ is an isomorphism modulo each maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_i^{(j)}$. For that it suffices to verify that the map $\bar{\varphi}_i : l_i[\bar{u}_i]/(N(\bar{f}_i)) \rightarrow \bar{A}_i/\bar{f}_i \bar{A}_i$ is an isomorphism for each index i , where $N(\bar{f}_i) := N_{\bar{A}_i/l_i[\bar{u}]}(\bar{f}_i)$. Now $\bar{\varphi}_i$ is an isomorphism by the item (c) of Lemma 3.0.7. The lemma follows. □

Corollary 3.0.9. *Under the hypotheses of Lemma 3.0.8 let K be the field of fractions of R , $A_K = K \otimes_R A$ and $\epsilon_K = id_K \otimes \epsilon : A_K \rightarrow K$. Consider the inclusion $K[u] \subset A_K$. Then the norm $N(f) = N_{A_K/K[u]}(f) \in K[u]$ does not vanish at the points 1 and 0 of the affine line $\mathbf{A}_K^1$.*

Proof. The condition (4) of 3.0.8 implies that $N(f)$ does not vanish at the point 1. Since $\epsilon_K(f) \neq 0 \in K$ the conditions (2) and (3) imply that $N(f)$ does not vanish at 0 either. □

4 Unramified elements

Let k be an infinite field, $\mathcal{O}$ be the k -algebra from Theorem 1.0.3 and K be the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}$. Let $\mu : G \rightarrow C$ be the morphism of reductive $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes from Theorem 1.0.3. We work in this section with *the category of commutative $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras*. For a commutative $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra S set

$$\mathcal{F}(S) = C(S)/\mu(G(S)). \quad (2)$$

Let S be an $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra which is a domain and let L be its fraction field. Define the *subgroup of S -unramified elements of $\mathcal{F}(L)$* as

$$\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S)^{(1)}} \text{Im}[\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L)], \quad (3)$$

where $\text{Spec}(S)^{(1)}$ is the set of height 1 prime ideals in S . Obviously the image of $\mathcal{F}(S)$ in $\mathcal{F}(L)$ is contained in $\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L)$. In most cases $\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$ injects into $\mathcal{F}(L)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L)$ is simply the intersection of all $\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

For an element $\alpha \in C(S)$ we will write $\bar{\alpha}$ for its image in $\mathcal{F}(S)$. *In this section we will write $\mathcal{F}$ for the functor (2), the only exception being Lemma 4.0.14.* We will repeatedly use the following result

Theorem 4.0.10 ([Ni]). *Let S be a $\mathcal{O}$ algebra which is discrete valuation ring with fraction field L . The map $\mathcal{F}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L)$ is injective.*

Proof. Let H be the kernel of μ . Since μ is smooth and C is a tori, the group scheme sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow C \rightarrow 1$$

gives rise to a short exact sequence of group sheaves in the étale topology. In turn that sequence of sheaves induces a long exact sequence of pointed sets. So, the boundary map $\partial : C(S) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(S, H)$ fits in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(S)/\mu(G(S)) & \longrightarrow & C(L)/\mu(G(L)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\text{ét}}^1(S, H) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(L, H). \end{array}$$

in which the vertical arrows have trivial kernels. The bottom arrow has trivial kernel by a Theorem from [Ni], since H is a reductive $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme. Thus the top arrow has trivial kernel too. □

Lemma 4.0.11. *Let $\mu : G \rightarrow C$ be the above morphism of our reductive group schemes. Let $H = \ker(\mu)$. Then for an $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra L , where L is a field, the boundary map $\partial : C(L)/\mu(G(L)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(L, H)$ is injective.*

Proof. For a L -rational point $t \in C$ set $H_t = \mu^{-1}(t)$. The action by left multiplication of H on H_t makes H_t into a left principal homogeneous H -space and moreover $\partial(t) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(L, H)$ coincides with the isomorphism class of H_t . Now suppose that $s, t \in C(L)$ are such that $\partial(s) = \partial(t)$. This means that H_t and H_s are isomorphic as principal homogeneous H -spaces. We must check that for certain $g \in G(L)$ one has $t = sg$.

Let L^{sep} be a separable closure of L . Let $\psi : H_s \rightarrow H_t$ be an isomorphism of left H -spaces. For any $r \in H_s(L^{\text{sep}})$ and $h \in H_s(L^{\text{sep}})$ one has

$$(hr)^{-1}\psi(hr) = r^{-1}h^{-1}h\psi(r) = r^{-1}\psi(r).$$

Thus for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L^{\text{sep}}/L)$ and any $r \in H_s(L^{\text{sep}})$ one has

$$r^{-1}\psi(r) = (r^\sigma)^{-1}\psi(r^\sigma) = (r^{-1}\psi(r))^\sigma$$

which means that the point $u = r^{-1}\psi(r)$ is a $\text{Gal}(L^{\text{sep}}/L)$ -invariant point of $G(L^{\text{sep}})$. So $u \in G(L)$. The following relation shows that the ψ coincides with the right multiplication by u . In fact, for any $r \in H_s(L^{\text{sep}})$ one has $\psi(r) = rr^{-1}\psi(r) = ru$. Since ψ is the right multiplication by u one has $t = s\mu(u)$, which proves the lemma. $\square$

Let k , $\mathcal{O}$ and K be as above in this Section. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a field containing K and $x : \mathcal{K}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a discrete valuation vanishing on K . Let A_x be the valuation ring of x . Clearly, $\mathcal{O} \subset A_x$. Let $\hat{A}_x$ and $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x$ be the completions of A_x and $\mathcal{K}$ with respect to x . Let $i : \mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{K}}_x$ be the inclusion. By Theorem 4.0.10 the map $\mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x)$ is injective. We will identify $\mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x)$ with its image under this map. Set

$$\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K}) = i_*^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x)).$$

The inclusion $A_x \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ induces a map $\mathcal{F}(A_x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$ which is injective by Lemma 4.0.10. So both groups $\mathcal{F}(A_x)$ and $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$ are subgroups of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$. The following lemma shows that $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$ coincides with the subgroup of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$ consisting of all elements *unramified* at x .

Lemma 4.0.12. $\mathcal{F}(A_x) = \mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$.

Proof. We only have to check the inclusion $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(A_x)$. Let $a_x \in \mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$ be an element. It determines the elements $a \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$ and $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x)$ which coincide when regarded as elements of $\mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x)$. We denote this common element in $\mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x)$ by $\hat{a}_x$. Let $H = \ker(\mu)$ and let $\partial : C(-) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(-, H)$ be the boundary map.

Let $\xi = \partial(a) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{K}, H)$, $\hat{\xi} = \partial(\hat{a}) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\hat{A}_x, H)$ and $\hat{\xi}_x = \partial(\hat{a}_x) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x, H)$. Clearly, $\hat{\xi}$ and ξ both coincide with $\hat{\xi}_x$ when regarded as elements of $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x, H)$. Thus one can glue ξ and $\hat{\xi}$ to get a $\xi_x \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_x, H)$ which maps to ξ under the map induced by the inclusion $A_x \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ and maps to $\hat{\xi}$ under the map induced by the inclusion $A_x \hookrightarrow \hat{A}_x$.

We now show that ξ_x has the form $\partial(a'_x)$ for a certain $a'_x \in \mathcal{F}(A_x)$. In fact, observe that the image ζ of ξ in $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{K}, G)$ is trivial. By Theorem [Ni] the map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_x, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{K}, G)$$

has trivial kernel. Therefore the image ζ_x of ξ_x in $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_x, G)$ is trivial. Thus there exists an element $a'_x \in \mathcal{F}(A_x)$ with $\partial(a'_x) = \xi_x \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_x, H)$.

We now prove that a'_x coincides with a_x in $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$. Since $\mathcal{F}(A_x)$ and $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$ are both subgroups of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$, it suffices to show that a'_x coincides with the element a in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$. By Lemma 4.0.11 the map

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{K}, H) \quad (4)$$

is injective. Thus it suffices to check that $\partial(a'_x) = \partial(a)$ in $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{K}, H)$. This is indeed the case because $\partial(a'_x) = \xi_x$ and $\partial(a) = \xi$, and ξ_x coincides with ξ when regarded over $\mathcal{K}$. We have proved that a'_x coincides with a_x in $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$. Thus the inclusion $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(A_x)$ is proved, whence the lemma. $\square$

Let k , $\mathcal{O}$ and K be as above in this Section.

Lemma 4.0.13. *Let $A \subset B$ be a finite extension of Dedekind K -algebras. Let $0 \neq f \in B$ be such that B/fB is finite over K and reduced.*

Suppose $N_{B/A}(f) = fg \in B$ for a certain $g \in B$ coprime with f . Suppose the composite map $A/N(f)A \rightarrow B/N(f)B \rightarrow B/fB$ is an isomorphism. Let F and E be the field of fractions of A and B respectively. Let $\beta \in C(B_f)$ be such that $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{F}(E)$ is B -unramified. Then, for $\alpha = N_{E/F}(\beta)$, the class $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}(F)$ is A -unramified.

Proof. The only primes at which $\bar{\alpha}$ could be ramified are those which divide $N(f)$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be one of them. Check that $\bar{\alpha}$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{p}$.

To do this we consider all primes $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n$ in B lying over $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $\mathfrak{q}_1$ be the unique prime dividing f and lying over $\mathfrak{p}$. Then

$$\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} \times \prod_{i \neq 1} \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$$

with $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} = \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If F, E are the fields of fractions of A and B then

$$E \otimes \hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \hat{E}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} \times \dots \times \hat{E}_{\mathfrak{q}_n}$$

and $\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} = \hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We will write $\hat{E}_i$ for $\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ and $\hat{B}_i$ for $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$. Let $\beta \otimes 1 = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in C(\hat{E}_1) \times \dots \times C(\hat{E}_n)$. Clearly for $i \geq 2$ $\beta_i \in C(\hat{B}_i)$ and $\beta_1 = \mu(\gamma_1)\beta'_1$ with $\beta'_1 \in C(\hat{B}_1) = C(\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\gamma_1 \in G(\hat{E}_1) = G(\hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Now $\alpha \otimes 1 \in C(\hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ coincides with the product

$$\beta_1 N_{\hat{L}_2/\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\beta_2) \cdots N_{\hat{L}_n/\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\beta_n) = \mu(\gamma_1)[\beta'_1 N_{\hat{L}_2/\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\beta_2) \cdots N_{\hat{L}_n/\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\beta_n)].$$

Thus $\overline{\alpha \otimes 1} = \overline{\beta'_1 N_{\hat{L}_2/\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\beta_2) \cdots N_{\hat{L}_n/\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\beta_n)} \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Let $i : F \hookrightarrow \hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the inclusion and $i_* : \mathcal{F}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ be the induced map. Clearly $i_*(\bar{\alpha}) = \overline{\alpha \otimes 1}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Now Lemma 4.0.12 shows that the element $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}(F)$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Hence $\bar{\alpha}$ is A -unramified. $\square$

Lemma 4.0.14 (Unramifiedness Lemma). *Let R be a commutative ring. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a covariant functor from the category of commutative R -algebras to the category of abelian groups. Let S' and R' be two R -algebras which are noetherian domains with fields of fractions K' and L' respectively. Let $S' \xrightarrow{i} R'$ be an injective flat R -algebra homomorphism of finite type and let $j : K' \rightarrow L'$ be the induced inclusion of the field of fractions. Then for each localization $R'' \supset R'$ of R' the map*

$$j_* : \mathcal{F}(K') \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L')$$

takes S' -unramified elements to R'' -unramified elements.

Proof. Let $v \in \mathcal{F}(K')$ be an S' -unramified element and let $\mathfrak{r}$ be height 1 primes of R'' . Then $\mathfrak{q} = R' \cap \mathfrak{r}$ is a height 1 prime of R' . Let $\mathfrak{p} = S' \cap \mathfrak{q}$. Since the S' -algebra R' is flat of finite type one has $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{q}) \geq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. Thus $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$ is 1 or 0. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(K') & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \mathcal{F}(L') \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{F}(S'_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(R''_{\mathfrak{r}}) \end{array}$$

shows that the class $j_*(v)$ is in the image of $\mathcal{F}(R''_{\mathfrak{r}})$ and hence the class $j_*(v) \in \mathcal{F}(L')$ is R'' -unramified. □

5 Specialization maps

Let k be an infinite field, $\mathcal{O}$ be the k -algebra from Theorem 1.0.3 and K be the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}$. Let $\mu : G \rightarrow C$ be the morphism of reductive $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes from Theorem 1.0.3. We work in this section with *the category of commutative K -algebras* and with the functor

$$\mathcal{F} : S \mapsto C(S)/\mu(G(S)) \tag{5}$$

defined on the category of K -algebras. So, we assume in this Section that each ring from this Section is equipped with a distinguished K -algebra structure and each ring homomorphism from this Section respects that structures. Let S be an K -algebra which is a domain and let L be its fraction field. Define the *subgroup of S -unramified elements* $\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L)$ of $\mathcal{F}(L)$ by formulae (3).

For a regular domain S with the fraction field $\mathcal{K}$ and each height one prime $\mathfrak{p}$ in S we construct **specialization maps** $s_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the field of fractions of S and $K(\mathfrak{p})$ is the residue field of R at the prime $\mathfrak{p}$.

Definition 5.0.15. *Let $Ev_{\mathfrak{p}} : C(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow C(K(\mathfrak{p}))$ and $ev_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$ be the maps induced by the canonical K -algebra homomorphism $S_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow K(\mathfrak{p})$. Define a homomorphism $s_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$ by $s_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) = ev_{\mathfrak{p}}(\tilde{\alpha})$, where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a lift of α to $\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Theorem*

4.0.10 shows that the map $s_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is well-defined. It is called the specialization map. The map $ev_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is called the evaluation map at the prime $\mathfrak{p}$.

Obviously for $\alpha \in C(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ one has $s_{\mathfrak{p}}(\bar{\alpha}) = \overline{Ev_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$.

Lemma 5.0.16 ([H]). *Let H' be a smooth linear algebraic group over the field K . Let S be a K -algebra which is a Dedekind domain with field of fractions $\mathcal{K}$. If $\xi \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{K}, H')$ is an S -unramified element for the functor $H_{\text{ét}}^1(-, H')$ (see (3) for the Definition), then ξ can be lifted to an element of $H_{\text{ét}}^1(S, H')$.*

Proof. Patching. □

Theorem 5.0.17 ([C-T/O], Prop.2.2). *Let $G' = G_K$, where G is the reductive $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme from this Section (it is connected and even geometrically connected, since we follow [D-G, Exp. XIX, Defn.2.7]). Then*

$$\ker[H_{\text{ét}}^1(K[t], G') \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K(t), G')] = *.$$

We need the following theorem.

Theorem 5.0.18 (Homotopy invariance). *Let $S \mapsto \mathcal{F}(S)$ be the functor defined by the formulae (5) and let $\mathcal{F}_{nr, K[t]}(K(t))$ be defined by the formulae (3). Let $K(t)$ be the rational function field in one variable. Then one has*

$$\mathcal{F}(K) = \mathcal{F}_{nr, K[t]}(K(t)).$$

Proof. The injectivity is clear, since the composition

$$\mathcal{F}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{nr, K[t]}(K(t)) \xrightarrow{s_0} \mathcal{F}(K)$$

coincides with the identity (here s_0 is the specialization map at the point zero defined in 4.6).

It remains to check the surjectivity. Let

$$\mu_K = \mu \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K : G_K = G \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K \rightarrow C \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K = C_K.$$

Let $a \in \mathcal{F}_{nr, K[t]}(K(t))$ and let $H_K = \ker(\mu_K)$. Since μ is smooth the K -group H_K is smooth. Since G_K is reductive it is K -affine. Whence H_K is K -affine. Clearly, the element $\partial(a) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(K(t), H_K)$ is a class which for every closed point $x \in \mathbf{A}_K^1$ belongs to the image of $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_x, H_K)$. Thus by Lemma 5.0.16, $\xi := \partial(a)$ can be represented by an element $\tilde{\xi} \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(K[t], H_K)$, where $K[t]$ is the polynomial ring. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \tilde{a} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\xi} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\zeta} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(K[t]) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(K[t], H_K) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(K[t], G_K) \\ & & \epsilon \downarrow & & \rho \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(K(t)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(K(t), H_K) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(K(t), G_K) \\ & & a & \longrightarrow & \xi & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

in which all the maps are canonical, the horizontal lines are exact sequences of pointed sets and $\ker(\eta) = *$ by Theorem 5.0.17. Since ξ goes to the trivial element in $H_{et}^1(K(t), G_K)$, one concludes that $\eta(\tilde{\xi}) = *$. Whence $\tilde{\xi} = *$ by Theorem 5.0.17. Thus there exists an element $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{F}(K[t])$ such that $\partial(\tilde{a}) = \tilde{\xi}$. The map $\mathcal{F}(K(t)) \rightarrow H_{et}^1(K(t), H_K)$ is injective by Lemma 4.0.11. Thus $\epsilon(\tilde{a}) = a$. The map $\mathcal{F}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K[t])$ induced by the inclusion $K \hookrightarrow K[t]$ is surjective, since the corresponding map $C(K) \rightarrow C(K[t])$ is an isomorphism. Whence there exists an $a_0 \in \mathcal{F}(K)$ such that its image in $\mathcal{F}(K(t))$ coincides with the element a . □

Corollary 5.0.19. *Let $S \mapsto \mathcal{F}(S)$ be the functor defined in (2). Let*

$$s_0, s_1 : \mathcal{F}_{nr, K[t]}(K(t)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K)$$

be the specialization maps at zero and at one (at the primes (t) and $(t-1)$). Then $s_0 = s_1$.

Proof. It is an obvious consequence of Theorem 5.0.18. □

6 Equating Groups

The following Proposition is a straightforward analog of [OP, Prop.7.1]

Proposition 6.0.20. *Let S be a regular semi-local irreducible scheme. Let $\mu_1 : G_1 \rightarrow C_1$ and $\mu_2 : G_2 \rightarrow C_2$ be two smooth S -group scheme morphisms with tori C_1 and C_2 . Assume as well that G_1 and G_2 are reductive S -group schemes which are forms of each other. Let $T \subset S$ be a non-empty closed sub-scheme of S , and $\varphi : G_1|_T \rightarrow G_2|_T$, $\psi : C_1|_T \rightarrow C_2|_T$ be S -group scheme isomorphisms such that $(\mu_2|_T) \circ \varphi = \psi \circ (\mu_1|_T)$. Then there exists a finite étale morphism $\tilde{S} \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ together with its section $\delta : T \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ over T and $\tilde{S}$ -group scheme isomorphisms $\Phi : \pi^*G_1 \rightarrow \pi^*G_2$ and $\Psi : \pi^*C_1 \rightarrow \pi^*C_2$ such that*

- (i) $\delta^*(\Phi) = \varphi$,
- (ii) $\delta^*(\Psi) = \psi$,
- (iii) $\pi^*(\mu_2) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \pi^*(\mu_1) : \pi^*(G_1) \rightarrow \pi^*(C_2)$.

We refer to [PaSV] for the prove of slightly weaker statement. The proof of the Proposition can be done in the same style with some additional technicalities.

7 Elementary fibrations

In this Section we extend a result of Artin from [A] concerning existence of nice neighborhoods. The following notion is due to Artin [A, Exp.XI, Defn.3.1]

Definition 7.0.21. An elementary fibration is a morphism of schemes $p : X \rightarrow S$ which can be included in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{j} & \overline{X} & \xleftarrow{i} & Y \\ & \searrow p & \downarrow \overline{p} & \swarrow q & \\ & & S & & \end{array} \quad (6)$$

satisfying the following conditions

- (i) j is an open immersion dense at each fibre of $\overline{p}$, and $X = \overline{X} - Y$;
- (ii) $\overline{p}$ is a smooth projective such that all fibres are geometrically irreducible of dimension one;
- (iii) q is a finite étale morphism such that each fibre is non-empty.

The following result is an extension of Artin's result [A, Exp.XI,Thm.2.1] and can be found with a proof in [PaSV].

Theorem 7.0.22. Let k be an infinite field, $V \subset \mathbf{P}_k^n$ a locally closed subscheme of pure dimension r . Let $V' \subset V$ be an open subscheme consisting of all points $x \in V$ such that V is k -smooth at x . Let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m \in \mathbf{P}_k^n$ be a family of closed points with $p_i \neq p_j$ for $i \neq j$. For a family $H_1(d), H_2(d), \dots, H_s(d)$ ($s \leq r$) of hyperplanes of degree d containing all points p_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) set $Y = H_1(d) \cap H_2(d) \cap \dots \cap H_s(d)$.

There exists an integer d depending of the family $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m$ such that if the family $H_1(d), H_2(d), \dots, H_s(d)$ with $s \leq r$ is general enough, then Y crosses V transversally at each point of $Y \cap V'$.

If V is irreducible (geometrically irreducible) and $s < r$ then for the same integer d and for a general enough family $H_1(d), H_2(d), \dots, H_s(d)$ the intersection $Y \cap V$ is additionally irreducible (geometrically irreducible).

Using this Bertini type Theorem one can prove the following result, which is a slight extension of Artin's result [A, Exp.XI,Prop.3.3]. Its proof can be found in [PaSV].

Proposition 7.0.23. Let k be an infinite field, X/k be a smooth geometrically irreducible variety, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ be closed points. Then there exists a Zarisky open neighborhood X^0 of the family $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and an elementary fibration $p : X^0 \rightarrow S$, where S is an open subscheme of the projective space $\mathbf{P}^{\dim X - 1}$. Additionally, if Z is a closed codimension one subvariety in X , then one can choose X^0 and p such that $p|_{Z \cap X^0} : Z \cap X^0 \rightarrow S$ is finite surjective.

Proposition 7.0.24. Let $p : X \rightarrow S$ be an elementary fibration. If S is a regular semi-local scheme, then there exists a commutative diagram of S -schemes such that the left hand side square is Cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{j} & \overline{X} & \xleftarrow{i} & Y \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{\pi} & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{A}^1 \times S & \xrightarrow{\text{in}} & \mathbf{P}^1 \times S & \xleftarrow{i} & \{\infty\} \times S \end{array} \quad (7)$$

where j and i are from Definition 7.0.21 and $\text{pr}_S \circ \pi = p$, where pr_S is the projection $\mathbf{A}^1 \times S \rightarrow S$.

In particular, $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times S$ is a finite surjective morphism of S -schemes, where X (resp. $\mathbf{A}^1 \times S$) is regarded as an S -scheme via the morphism p (resp. via the projection pr_S).

A proof can be found in [PaSV].

8 Nice triples

We study in the present Section certain packages of geometric data and morphisms of that packages. The concept of "nice triples" is very closed to the one of "standard triples" [Vo, Defn.4.1] and is inspired by the latter one. Let k be an infinite field, X/k be a smooth geometrically irreducible variety, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ be closed points. Let $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}})$ be the respecting semi-local ring.

Definition 8.0.25. Let $U := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}})$. A nice triple over U consists of the following family of data:

- (i) a smooth morphism $q_U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$,
- (iii) an element $f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$
- (ii) a section Δ of the morphism q_U .

These data must satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) each component of each fibre of the morphism q_U has dimension one,
- (b) the $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})/f \cdot \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is a finite $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = \mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}}$ -module,
- (c) there exists a finite surjective U -morphism $\Pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$,
- (d) $\Delta^*(f) \neq 0 \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$.

A morphism of two nice triples $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta') \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, f, \Delta)$ is an étale morphism of U -schemes $\theta : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that

- (1) $q'_U = q_U \circ \theta$,
- (2) $f' = \theta^*(f) \cdot g'$ for an element $g' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$
(in particular, $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})/\theta^*(f) \cdot \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$ is a finite $\mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}}$ -module),
- (3) $\Delta = \theta \circ \Delta'$.

(Stress that there are no conditions concerning an interaction of Π' and Π).

Theorem 8.0.26. *Let U be as in Definition 8.0.25. Let $(\mathcal{X}, f, \Delta)$ be a nice triple over U . Let $G_{\mathcal{X}}$ be a reductive $\mathcal{X}$ -group scheme and $G_U := \Delta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}})$ and G_{const} be the pull-back of G_U to $\mathcal{X}$. Let $C_{\mathcal{X}}$ be an $\mathcal{X}$ -torus and $C_U := \Delta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$ and C_{const} be the pull-back of C_U to $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} : G_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{X}}$ be an $\mathcal{X}$ -group scheme morphism smooth as a scheme morphism. Let $\mu_U = \Delta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $\mu_{\text{const}} : G_{\text{const}} \rightarrow C_{\text{const}}$ be the pull-back of μ_U to $\mathcal{X}$.*

Then there exist a morphism $\theta : (\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta') \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, f, \Delta)$ of nice triples and isomorphisms

$$\Phi : \theta^*(G_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}), \quad \Psi : \theta^*(C_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$$

of $\mathcal{X}'$ -group schemes such that $(\Delta')^(\Phi) = \text{id}_{G_U}$, $(\Delta')^*(\Psi) = \text{id}_{C_U}$ and*

$$\theta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{\text{const}}) \quad (8)$$

This Theorem is a consequence of Proposition 6.0.20.

Proof of Theorem 8.0.26. We can start by almost literally repeating arguments from the proof of [OP1, Lemma 8.1], which involve the following purely geometric lemma [OP1, Lemma 8.2].

For reader's convenience below we state that Lemma adapting notation to the ones of Section 8. Namely, let U be as in Definition 8.0.25 and let $(\mathcal{X}, f, \Delta)$ be a nice triple over U . Further, let $G_{\mathcal{X}}$ be a simple simply-connected $\mathcal{X}$ -group scheme, $G_U := \Delta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}})$, and let G_{const} be the pull-back of G_U to $\mathcal{X}$. Finally, by the definition of a nice triple there exists a finite surjective morphism $\Pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ of U -schemes.

Lemma 8.0.27. *Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a closed nonempty sub-scheme of $\mathcal{X}$, finite over U . Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an open subset of $\mathcal{X}$ containing $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\mathcal{Y}))$. There exists an open set $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ still containing $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\mathcal{Y}))$ and endowed with a finite surjective morphism $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ (in general $\neq \Pi$).*

Let $\Pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ be the above finite surjective U -morphism. The following diagram summarises the situation:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{Z} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{Z} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & \mathbf{A}^1 \times U \\ & & \uparrow \Delta \downarrow q_U & & \\ & & U & & \end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{Z}$ is the closed sub-scheme defined by the equation $f = 0$. By assumption, $\mathcal{Z}$ is finite over U . Let $\mathcal{Y} = \Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\mathcal{Z} \cup \Delta(U)))$. Since $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\Delta(U)$ are both finite over U and since Π is a finite morphism of U -schemes, $\mathcal{Y}$ is also finite over U . Denote by $y_1, \dots, y_m$ its closed points and let $S = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, y_1, \dots, y_m})$. Set $T = \Delta(U) \subseteq S$. Further, let $G_{\mathcal{X}}$, $G_U = \Delta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}})$ and G_{const} be as in the hypotheses of Theorem 8.0.26. Let $C_{\mathcal{X}}$, $C_U = \Delta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$ and C_{const} be as in the hypotheses of Theorem 8.0.26. Finally, let

$$\varphi : G_{\text{const}}|_T \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{X}}|_T, \quad \psi : C_{\text{const}}|_T \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{X}}|_T$$

be the canonical isomorphisms. Recall that by assumption $\mathcal{X}$ is U -smooth, and thus S is regular.

By Proposition 6.0.20 there exists a finite étale covering $\theta_0 : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$, a section $\delta : T \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ of θ_0 over T and isomorphisms

$$\Phi_0 : \theta_0^*(G_{\text{const}}|_S) \rightarrow \theta_0^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}|_S), \quad \Psi_0 : \theta_0^*(C_{\text{const}}|_S) \rightarrow \theta_0^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}|_S)$$

such that $\delta^*\Phi_0 = \varphi$, $\delta^*\Psi_0 = \psi$ and

$$\theta_0^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}|_S) \circ \Phi_0 = \Psi_0 \circ \theta_0^*(\mu_{\text{const}}|_S) : \theta_0^*(G_{\text{const}}|_S) \rightarrow \theta_0^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}|_S) \quad (9)$$

We can extend these data to a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ and get the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \\ & \nearrow \delta & \downarrow \theta_0 & & \downarrow \theta \\ T & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathcal{X} \end{array} \quad (10)$$

where $\theta : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ finite étale, and isomorphisms

$$\Phi : \theta^*(G_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}), \quad \Psi : \theta^*(C_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$$

such that

$$\theta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}|_{\mathcal{V}}) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{\text{const}}|_{\mathcal{V}}) \quad (11)$$

(the latter equality holds since the equality (9) holds and $\mathcal{V}$ is irreducible).

Since T isomorphically projects onto U , it is still closed viewed as a sub-scheme of $\mathcal{V}$. Note that since $\mathcal{Y}$ is semi-local and $\mathcal{V}$ contains all of its closed points, $\mathcal{V}$ contains $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\mathcal{Y})) = \mathcal{Y}$. By Lemma 8.0.27 there exists an open subset $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ containing $\mathcal{Y}$ and endowed with a finite surjective U -morphism $\Pi^* : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$.

Let $\mathcal{X}' = \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$, $f' = \theta^*(f)$, $q'_U = q_U \circ \theta$, and let $\Delta' : U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ be the section of q'_U obtained as the composition of δ with Δ . We claim that the triple $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$ is a nice triple. Let us verify this. Firstly, the structure morphism $q'_U : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow U$ coincides with the composition

$$\mathcal{X}' \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{q_U} U.$$

Thus, it is smooth. The element f' belongs to the ring $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$, the morphism Δ' is a section of q'_U . Each component of each fibre of the morphism q_U has dimension one, the morphism $\mathcal{X}' \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is étale. Thus, each component of each fibre of the morphism q'_U is also of dimension one. Since $\{f = 0\} \subset \mathcal{W}$ and $\theta : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is finite, $\{f' = 0\}$ is finite over $\{f = 0\}$ and hence also over U . In other words, the $\mathcal{O}$ -module $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})/f' \cdot \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$ is finite. The morphism $\theta : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is finite and surjective. We have constructed above the finite surjective morphism $\Pi^* : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$. It follows that $\Pi^* \circ \theta : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ is finite and surjective.

Clearly, the étale morphism $\theta : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a morphism of nice triples, with $g = 1$.

Denote the restriction of Φ to $\mathcal{X}'$ simply by Φ . The equality $(\Delta')^*\Phi = id_{G_U}$ holds by the very construction of the isomorphism Φ . Denote the restriction of Ψ to $\mathcal{X}'$ simply by Ψ . The equality $(\Delta')^*\Psi = id_{C_U}$ holds by the very construction of the isomorphism Ψ . Finally, the equality $\theta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{\text{const}})$ follows directly from the equality (11) above. Theorem follows.

9 A basic nice triple

With Propositions 7.0.23 and 7.0.24 at our disposal we may form a *basic nice triple*, namely the triple (15). This is the main aim of the present Section. Namely, fix a smooth irreducible affine k -scheme X , a finite family of points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ on X , and a non-zero function $f \in k[X]$. We *always assume* that the set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is contained in the vanishing locus of the function f .

Replacing k with its algebraic closure in $k[X]$, we may assume that X is a geometrically irreducible k -variety. By Proposition 7.0.23 there exist a Zariski open neighborhood X^0 of the family $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and an elementary fibration $p : X^0 \rightarrow S$, where S is an open sub-scheme of the projective space $\mathbf{P}^{dim X - 1}$ such that

$$p|_{\{f=0\} \cap X^0} : \{f=0\} \cap X^0 \rightarrow S$$

is finite surjective. Let $s_i = p(x_i) \in S$, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Shrinking S , we may assume that S is *affine* and still contains the family $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$. Clearly, in this case $p^{-1}(S) \subseteq X^0$ contains the family $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Now we replace X by $p^{-1}(S)$ and f by its restriction to this new X .

In this way we get an elementary fibration $p : X \rightarrow S$ such that

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset \{f=0\} \subset X,$$

S is an open affine sub-scheme in the projective space $\mathbf{P}^{dim X - 1}$, and the restriction of $p|_{\{f=0\}} : \{f=0\} \rightarrow S$ to the vanishing locus of f is a finite surjective morphism. In other words, $k[X]/(f)$ is finite as a $k[S]$ -module.

As an open affine sub-scheme of the projective space $\mathbf{P}^{dim X - 1}$ the scheme S is regular. By Proposition 7.0.24 one can shrink S such that S is still affine, contains the family $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ and there exists a finite surjective morphism

$$\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times S$$

such that $p = pr_S \circ \pi$. Clearly, in this case $p^{-1}(S) \subseteq X$ contains the family $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Now we replace X by $p^{-1}(S)$ and f by its restriction to this new X .

In this way we get an elementary fibration $p : X \rightarrow S$ such that

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset \{f=0\} \subset X,$$

S is an open affine sub-scheme in the projective space $\mathbf{P}^{dim X - 1}$, and the restriction of $p|_{\{f=0\}} : \{f=0\} \rightarrow S$ to the vanishing locus of f is a finite surjective morphism. And eventually there exists a finite surjective morphism

$$\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times S$$

such that $p = pr_S \circ \pi$.

Now set $U := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}})$, and denote by $can : U \hookrightarrow X$ the canonical inclusion of schemes, and $p_U = p \circ can : U \rightarrow S$. Further, we consider the fibre product

$\mathcal{X} := U \times_S X$. Then the canonical projections $q_U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$ and $q_X : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ and the diagonal morphism $\Delta : U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ can be included in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{q_X} & X \\ q_U \downarrow & \Delta \nearrow & \text{can} \\ U & & \end{array} \quad (12)$$

where

$$q_X \circ \Delta = \text{can} \quad (13)$$

and

$$q_U \circ \Delta = \text{id}_U. \quad (14)$$

Note that q_U is a smooth morphism with geometrically irreducible fibres of dimension one. Indeed, observe that q_U is a base change via p_U of the morphism p which has the desired properties. Taking the base change via p_U of the finite surjective morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times S$ we get a finite surjective morphism

$$\Pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$$

such that $q_U = \text{pr}_U \circ \Pi$. Set $f := q_X^*(f)$. The $\mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}}$ -module $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})/f \cdot \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is finite, since the $k[S]$ -module $k[X]/f \cdot k[X]$ is finite.

Note that the data

$$(q_U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U, f, \Delta) \quad (15)$$

form an example of a *nice triple*, as defined in Definition 8.0.25.

Claim 9.0.28. *Note that the schemes $\Delta(U)$ and $\{f = 0\}$ are both semi-local and that the set of closed points of $\Delta(U)$ is contained in the set of closed points of $\{f = 0\}$.*

This holds since the set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is contained in the vanishing locus of the function f .

10 Proof of Theorem (A)

Proof. We begin with the following data. Fix a smooth irreducible affine k -scheme X , a finite family of points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$ on X , and set $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}}$ and $U := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$. Replacing k with its algebraic closure in $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ we may and will assume that X is k -smooth and geometrically irreducible. Further, consider the reductive U -group scheme G , the U -tori C and the smooth U -group scheme morphism

$$\mu : G \rightarrow C.$$

Let K be the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}$. Let $\xi_K \in C(K)$ be such that the element $\bar{\xi}_K \in \mathcal{F}(K)$ is $\mathcal{O}$ -unramified (see (3)).

Shrinking X if necessary, we may secure the following properties:

(i) The points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$ are still in X ;

(ii) There are given a reductive X -group scheme G_X , an X -tori C_X , a smooth X -group scheme morphism $\mu_X : G_X \rightarrow C_X$ such that $H_X := \ker(\mu_X)$ is a reductive X -group scheme and $G = U \times_X G_X$, $C = U \times_X C_X$, $\mu = U \times_X \mu_X$. In particular, for any U -scheme S one has $G(S) = G_X(S)$, $C(S) = C_X(S)$, $\mathcal{F}(S) = \mathcal{F}_X(S)$, where $\mathcal{F}_X(S) := C_X(S)/\mu_X(G_X(S))$.

(iii) The element ξ is defined over X_f , that is there is given an element $\xi \in C_X(k[X]_f)$ for a non-zero function $f \in k[X]$ such that the image of ξ in $C_X(K) = C(K)$ coincides with the element ξ_K ; we may assume further that f vanishes at each x_i 's and the k -algebra $k[X]/(f)$ is reduced;

(iv) we may assume also that $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}_X(k[X]_f)$ is $k[X]$ -unramified for the functor $\mathcal{F}_X$;

Let $\eta_f : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow X_f$ be a morphism induced by the inclusion $k[X]_f \hookrightarrow k(X) = K$ and let $\eta : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow U$ be a morphism induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow K$. Clearly, $\xi_K = \eta_f^*(\xi) \in C_X(K) = C(K)$.

• *Our aim is to find an element $\xi' \in C(U)$ such that $\eta^*(\bar{\xi}') = \bar{\xi}_K \in \mathcal{F}(K)$.*

Using a functor isomorphism (18) we will construct such an element ξ' rather explicitly in (19). Recall that we are given now with a geometrically irreducible k -smooth affine scheme X , a finite family points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$ on X and a non-zero function $f \in k[X]$ vanishing at each x_i 's. Beginning with these data the nice triple (15)

$$(q_U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U, f, \Delta)$$

was constructed in Section 9.

Set $G_{\mathcal{X}} = q_X^*(G_X)$ and note that $G = \Delta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}) = U \times_X G_X$. Let G_{const} be the pull-back of G to $\mathcal{X}$.

Set $C_{\mathcal{X}} = q_X^*(C_X)$ and note that $C = \Delta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}) = U \times_X C_X$. Let C_{const} be the pull-back of C_U to $\mathcal{X}$.

Set $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} = q_X^*(\mu_X)$ and note that $\mu_U = \Delta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}) = U \times_X \mu_X$. Let μ_{const} be the pull-back of μ_U to $\mathcal{X}$.

By Theorem 8.0.26 there exist a morphism $\theta : (\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta') \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, f, \Delta)$ of nice triples and isomorphisms

$$\Phi : \theta^*(G_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}), \quad \Psi : \theta^*(C_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}) \quad (16)$$

of $\mathcal{X}'$ -group schemes such that $(\Delta')^*(\Phi) = \text{id}_G$, $(\Delta')^*(\Psi) = \text{id}_C$ and

$$\theta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{\text{const}}) : \theta^*(G_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}) \quad (17)$$

The equality (17) implies that for each U -scheme $\mathcal{Y}'$ and each U -scheme morphism $t : \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ **the group isomorphism** $\Psi(\mathcal{Y}') : \theta^*(C_{\text{const}})(\mathcal{Y}') \rightarrow \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})(\mathcal{Y}')$ induces a group isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{Y}') = C(\mathcal{Y}')/\mu(G(\mathcal{Y}')) \cong C_X(\mathcal{Y}')/(\mu_X(G_X(\mathcal{Y}')))) = \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{Y}') \quad (18)$$

(Here for the functor $\mathcal{F}$ the scheme $\mathcal{Y}'$ is regarded as a U -scheme via the composition $q'_U \circ t$ and for the functor $\mathcal{F}_X$ the scheme $\mathcal{Y}'$ is regarded as an X -scheme via the composition $q'_X \circ$

t). Group isomorphisms $\Psi(\mathcal{Y}')$ form a natural functor transformation of functors defined on the category of $\mathcal{X}'$ -schemes and $\mathcal{X}'$ -scheme morphisms. The same holds concerning isomorphisms (18).

If the U -morphism $t : \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ is of the form $\mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\Delta'} \mathcal{X}'$ then $\Psi(\mathcal{Y}') = id$, since $(\Delta')^*(\Psi) = id_{C_U}$. In particular, we have proved the following

Claim 10.0.29 (Main). *If U is regarded as an $\mathcal{X}'$ -scheme via the morphism Δ' and $\text{Spec}(K)$ is regarded as an $\mathcal{X}'$ -scheme via the morphism $\eta \circ \Delta'$, then $\Psi(U) = id$ and $\Psi(K) = id$.*

We are rather closed to a construction of the required element $\xi' \in C(U)$. Let $U = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}})$ be as in Definition 8.0.25. Write R for $\mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}}$. It is a semi-local essentially smooth k -algebra with maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_i$'s where i runs from 1 to r . Let $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$ be the above mentioned nice triple over U . Show that it gives rise to certain data subjecting the hypotheses of Lemma 3.0.8.

Let $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$. It is an R -algebra via the ring homomorphism $(q'_U)^* : R \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$. Moreover this R -algebra is smooth. The triple $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$ is a nice triple. Thus there exists a finite surjective U -morphism $\Pi : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_U^1$. It induces the respecting R -algebras inclusion $R[t] \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}) = A$ such that the A is finitely generated as an $R[t]$ -module. For each index i the $R/\mathfrak{m}_i$ -algebra $A/\mathfrak{m}_i A$ is equi-dimensional of dimension one since $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$ is a nice triple. Let $\epsilon = (\Delta')^* : A \rightarrow R$ be an R -algebra homomorphism induced by the section Δ' of the morphism q'_U . Clearly, that ϵ is an augmentation. Further, $\epsilon(f') \neq 0 \in R$ since $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$ is a nice triple. Set $I = \ker(\epsilon)$. The R -module $A/f' A$ is finite since $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$ is a nice triple. So, we are under the hypotheses of Lemma 3.0.8. Thus we may use the conclusion of that Lemma.

So, there exists an element $u \in A$ subjecting (1) to (7) of Lemma 3.0.8. The function u defines a finite morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow U \times \mathbf{A}^1$. Since $\mathcal{X}'$ and $\mathcal{Y}' := U \times \mathbf{A}^1$ are regular schemes and π is finite surjective it is finite and flat by a theorem of Grothendieck [E, Cor.18.17]. So, for any U -map $t : \mathcal{Y}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'$ of affine U -schemes, putting $\mathcal{X}'' = \mathcal{X}' \times_{\mathcal{Y}'} \mathcal{Y}''$ we have the norm map given by (1)

$$N_{\mathcal{X}''/\mathcal{Y}''} : C_U(\mathcal{X}'') \rightarrow C_U(\mathcal{Y}'').$$

Set $\mathcal{D} = \text{Spec}(A/J)$ and $\mathcal{D}_1 = \text{Spec}(A/(u-1)A)$. Clearly $\mathcal{D}_1$ is the scheme theoretic pre-image of $U \times \{1\}$ and the disjoint union $\mathcal{D}_0 := \mathcal{D} \coprod \delta(U)$ is the scheme theoretic pre-image of $U \times \{0\}$ under the morphism π . In particular $\mathcal{D}$ is finite flat over U . We will write Δ' for $\Delta'(U)$.

Consider the element $\xi \in C_X(k[X]_f)$ chosen above. Set $\zeta_X = (q''_X)^*(\xi) \in C_X(\mathcal{X}'_f)$. Let $\zeta \in C(\mathcal{X}'_f)$ be a unique element such that $\Psi(\mathcal{X}'_f)(\zeta) = \zeta_X$. Since the function $f' \in A$ is co-prime to the ideals J and $(u-1)A$ one can form the following element

$$\xi' = N_{\mathcal{D}_1/U}(\zeta|_{\mathcal{D}_1}) N_{\mathcal{D}/U}(\zeta|_{\mathcal{D}})^{-1} \in C(U) \quad (19)$$

Claim 10.0.30 (Main). *One has $\eta^*(\bar{\xi}') = \bar{\xi}_K \in \mathcal{F}(K)$, where K is the fraction field of both $k[X]$ and $k[U] = R$.*

To complete the proof of Theorem A, it remains to prove the Claim. To do this it is convenient to fix some notations. For an A -module M set $M_K = K \otimes_R M$, for an U -scheme $\mathcal{Z}$ set $\mathcal{Z}_K = \text{Spec}(K) \times_U \mathcal{Z}$, for a U -morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ set $\varphi_K = \text{Spec}(K) \times_U \varphi$. Clearly one has $R_K = K$, $U_K = \text{Spec}(K)$, $\mathcal{X}'_K = \text{Spec}(A_K)$ and $(U \times \mathbf{A}^1)_K$ is just the affine line $\mathbf{A}^1_K$. Its closed subschemes $U_K \times \{1\}$ and $U_K \times \{0\}$ coincide with the points 1 and 0 of $\mathbf{A}^1_K$. The morphism $(q'_U)_K : \mathcal{X}'_K \rightarrow U_K = \text{Spec}(K)$ is smooth. In fact, the morphism θ is étale and $q_U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$ is smooth. The morphism π_K is finite flat and fits in the commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}'_K & \xrightarrow{\pi_K} & \mathbf{A}^1_K \\ & \searrow (q'_U)_K & \swarrow pr_K \\ & \text{Spec}(K) & \end{array} \quad (20)$$

One has $\mathcal{D}_K = \text{Spec}(A_K/J_K)$, $\mathcal{D}_{1,K} = \text{Spec}(A_K/(u-1)A_K)$. Clearly $\mathcal{D}_{1,K}$ is the scheme theoretic pre-image of the point $\{1\} \in \mathbf{A}^1_K$ and the disjoint union $\mathcal{D}_{0,K} := \mathcal{D}_K \amalg \Delta_K$ is the scheme theoretic pre-image of the point $\{0\} \in \mathbf{A}^1_K$ under the morphism π_K .

Let ζ_K be the pull-back of ζ under the natural map $\mathcal{X}'_{f',K} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_{f'}$. Let ξ'_K be the pull-back of ξ' under the above map $\eta : \text{Spec}(K) = U_K \rightarrow U$, that is $\xi'_K := \eta^*(\xi')$. By the base change property of the norm map (1) one has

$$\eta^*(\xi') = \xi'_K = N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}}) N_{\mathcal{D}_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K})^{-1} \in C(K) \quad (21)$$

Set

$$\zeta'' = N_{K(\mathcal{X}'_K)/K(\mathbf{A}^1)}(\zeta_K) \in C(K(\mathbf{A}^1)) = C(K(u)). \quad (22)$$

To prove Claim 10.0.30 we need the following one:

Claim 10.0.31. *The class $\bar{\zeta}'' \in \mathcal{F}(K(u))$ is $K[u]$ -unramified for the functor $\mathcal{F}$.*

Prove now this Claim. Let $\zeta_{X,K} \in C_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})$ be the pull-back of $\zeta_X \in C_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f'})$ under the natural morphism $\mathcal{X}'_{f',K} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_{f'}$.

Consider the composition morphism of schemes $r : \mathcal{X}'_K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}' \xrightarrow{q'_X} X$. It induces a rational function field inclusion $K \hookrightarrow K(\mathcal{X}'_K)$ (stress that it does not coincides with the one induced by the projection $q'_{U,K} : \mathcal{X}'_K \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$). It's easy to check that for each closed point $y \in \mathcal{X}'_K$ its image $r(y) \in X$ has either hight 1 or 0 (codimension 1 or 0). The family of commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'_K,y}) & \xleftarrow{r^*} & \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{O}_{X,r(y)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}_X(K(\mathcal{X}'_K)) & \xleftarrow{r^*} & \mathcal{F}_X(K). \end{array} \quad (23)$$

shows that the map $r^* : \mathcal{F}_X(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_X(K(\mathcal{X}'_K))$ takes X -unramified elements to $\mathcal{X}'_K$ -unramified elements for the functor $\mathcal{F}_X$. Since the class $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}_X(k[X]_f)$ is $k[X]$ -unramified, the element $\bar{\zeta}_{X,K} = r^*(\bar{\xi}) \in \mathcal{F}(K(\mathcal{X}'_K))$ is $\mathcal{X}'_K$ -unramified.

Clearly, $\Psi(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})(\bar{\zeta}_K) = \bar{\zeta}_{X,K} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})$. The functor isomorphism (18) shows that the element $\bar{\zeta}_K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})$ is $\mathcal{X}'_K$ -unramified for the functor $\mathcal{F}$.

Now check that the inclusion of K -algebras $K[u] \subset A_K = K[\mathcal{X}'_K]$ and the function $f' \in A$ satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 4.0.13. We first check that the K -algebra $A_K/f'A_K$ is reduced. Recall that the ring $k[X]/(f)$ is reduced. As we mentioned above, the morphism $q'_X : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow X$ is essentially smooth, hence the ring $A/(f')$ is reduced too and thus its localization $A_K/f'A_K$ is reduced. Since the extension $K[u] \subset A_K = K[\mathcal{X}'_K]$ and the functions $f' \in A$ satisfy the conditions (5) to (7) of Lemma 3.0.8 they also satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 4.0.13 for the functor $\mathcal{F}$ from equality (18). Thus by Lemma 4.0.13 the class $\bar{\zeta}''$ is $K[u]$ -unramified for the functor $\mathcal{F}$. This implies the Claim 10.0.31.

Continue the proof of Claim 10.0.30. By Claim 10.0.31 we can apply the specialization maps to $\bar{\zeta}''$. By Corollary 5.0.19 the specializations at 0 and 1 of the element $\bar{\zeta}''$ coincide, that is

$$s_1(\bar{\zeta}'') = s_0(\bar{\zeta}'') \in \mathcal{F}(K). \quad (24)$$

By Corollary 3.0.9 the function $N_{A_K/K[u]}(f) \in K[u]$ does not vanish at 1, so at 0 and by the condition (5) of Lemma 3.0.8 one has $\zeta'' \in C(K[u]_{N(f)})$. Using the relation between specialization and evaluation maps described in Definition 5.0.15 one has a chain of relations

$$\overline{Ev_1(\zeta'')} = s_1(\zeta'') = s_0(\zeta'') = \overline{Ev_0(\zeta'')} \in \mathcal{F}(K). \quad (25)$$

Base change and the multiplicativity properties of the norm map (1) imply relations

$$Ev_1(\zeta'') = N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}}) \quad (26)$$

and

$$Ev_0(\zeta'') = N_{\mathcal{D}_K \amalg \Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K \amalg \Delta'_K}) = N_{\mathcal{D}_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K}) N_{\Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\Delta'_K}) \quad (27)$$

So, we have a chain of relations in $\mathcal{F}(K)$

$$\overline{N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}})} = \overline{Ev_1(\zeta'')} = \overline{Ev_0(\zeta'')} = \overline{N_{\mathcal{D}_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K})} \cdot \overline{N_{\Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\Delta'_K})}$$

By the normalization property of the norm map one has $N_{\Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\Delta'_K}) = (\Delta'_K)^*(\zeta_K)$.

Claim 10.0.32. $(\Delta'_K)^*(\zeta_K) = \xi_K \in C(K)$.

With this Claim in hand we have a chain of relations in $\mathcal{F}(K)$

$$\bar{\xi}_{U,K} = \overline{(\Delta'_K)^*(\zeta_K)} = \overline{N_{\Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\Delta'_K})} = \overline{N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}})} \cdot \overline{(N_{\mathcal{D}_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K}))}^{-1} \quad (28)$$

The relations (21) and (28) shows that $\eta^*(\bar{\xi}') = \bar{\xi}_K \in \mathcal{F}_U(K)$.

To complete the proof of the Claim 10.0.30 it remains to prove the Claim 10.0.32. To do that consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K}) & \xrightarrow{(\Delta'_K)^*} & \mathcal{F}(K) \\ \Psi(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi(K) \\ \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K}) & \xrightarrow{(\Delta'_K)^*} & \mathcal{F}_X(K) \end{array} \quad (29)$$

induced by the morphism $\Delta'_K : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_{f',K}$ and the functor isomorphism (18) (note that $\Delta'_K : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_{f',K}$ is well-defined, since $(\Delta')^*(f') = f \neq 0 \in k[U] = R$). Recall that $\zeta_{X,K} \in C_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})$ is the pull-back of $\zeta_X \in C_X(\mathcal{X}_{f'})$ under the natural morphism $\mathcal{X}'_{f',K} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{f'}$. It follows from the definitions that

$$(\Delta'_K)^*(\zeta_{X,K}) = \eta_f^*(\xi) = \xi_K \in C_X(K) = C(K). \quad (30)$$

Clearly, $\Psi(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})(\zeta_K) = \zeta_{X,K}$. Recall that $\Psi(K) = id$ by the Claim 10.0.29. The commutativity of the diagram (29) and the equality $\Psi(K) = id$ completes the proof of the Claim 10.0.32. Whence we completed the proof of the Claim 10.0.30. The proof of **the Theorem A** is completed. $\square$

11 Proof of Theorem (B)

Proof. To prove Theorem (B) we now recall a celebrated result of Dorin Popescu (see [P] or, for a self-contained proof, [Sw]).

Let k be a field and R a local k -algebra. We say that R is *geometrically regular* if $k' \otimes_k R$ is regular for any finite extension k' of k . A ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow R$ is called *geometrically regular* if it is flat and for each prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of R lying over $\mathfrak{p}$, $R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{q}} = k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is geometrically regular over $k(\mathfrak{p}) = A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Observe that any regular semi-local ring containing a **perfect** field k is geometrically regular over k .

Theorem 11.0.33 (Popescu's theorem). *A homomorphism $A \rightarrow R$ of noetherian rings is geometrically regular if and only if R is a filtered direct limit of smooth A -algebras.*

Proof of Theorem B.

Let R be a regular semi-local ring containing an infinite **perfect** field k . Since k is perfect one can apply Popescu's theorem. So, R can be presented as a filtered direct limit of smooth k -algebras A_{α} over the infinite field k . We first observe that we may replace the direct system of the A_{α} 's by a system of essentially smooth semi-local k -algebras. In fact, if $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_r$ are all maximal ideal of R and $S = R - (\cup \mathfrak{m}_i)$ we can replace each A_{α} by $(A_{\alpha})_{S_{\alpha}}$, where $S_{\alpha} = S \cap A_{\alpha}$. Note that in this case the canonical morphisms $\varphi_{\alpha} : A_{\alpha} \rightarrow R$ are local (sends a maximal ideal to a maximal one) and every A_{α} is a regular semi-local ring, in particular a factorial ring.

Let now L be the field of fractions of R and, for each α , let K_{α} be the field of fractions of A_{α} . For each index α let $\mathfrak{a}_{\alpha}$ be the kernel of the map $\varphi_{\alpha} : A_{\alpha} \rightarrow R$ and $B_{\alpha} = (A_{\alpha})_{\mathfrak{a}_{\alpha}}$. Clearly, for each α , K_{α} is the field of fractions of B_{α} . The composition map $A_{\alpha} \rightarrow R \rightarrow L$ factors through B_{α} and hence it also factors through the residue field k_{α} of B_{α} . Since R is a filtering direct limit of the A_{α} 's we see that L is a filtering direct limit of the B_{α} 's. We will write ψ_{α} for the canonical morphism $B_{\alpha} \rightarrow L$.

Let $\xi \in C(L)$ be such that the class $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}(L)$ is R -unramified. We need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 11.0.34. *Let B be a local ring which is a domain and let K be its field of fractions. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of B and $\bar{B} = B/\mathfrak{m}$. For an element $\theta \in \mathcal{F}(B)$ write $\bar{\theta}$ for its image in $\mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$ and θ_K for its image in $\mathcal{F}(K)$. Let $\eta, \rho \in \mathcal{F}(B)$ be such that $\eta_K = \rho_K \in \mathcal{F}(K)$. Then $\bar{\eta} = \bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$.*

Lemma 11.0.35. *There exists an index α and an element $\xi_\alpha \in C(B_\alpha)$ such that $\psi_\alpha(\xi_\alpha) = \xi$ and the class $\bar{\xi}_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(K_\alpha)$ is A_α -unramified.*

Assuming these two claims we complete the proof as follows. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\quad} k_\alpha \xrightarrow{\quad} & L \\ \downarrow & & \\ K_\alpha & & \end{array}$$

By Lemma 11.0.35 the class $\bar{\xi}_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(K_\alpha)$ is A_α -unramified. Hence by Theorem A there exists an element $\eta \in C(A_\alpha)$ such that $\bar{\xi}_\alpha = \bar{\eta} \in \mathcal{F}(K_\alpha)$. By Lemma 11.0.34 the elements $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$ and $\bar{\eta}$ have the same image in $\mathcal{F}(k_\alpha)$. Hence $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}(L)$ coincides with the image of the element $\varphi_\alpha(\bar{\eta})$ in $\mathcal{F}(L)$. It remains to prove the two Lemmas.

Proof of Lemma 11.0.34. Induction on $\dim(B)$. The case of dimension 1 follows from Theorem 4.0.10 applied to the local ring B . To prove the general case choose an $f \in B$ such that $\eta = \rho \in \mathcal{F}(B_f)$. Let $\pi \in B$ be such that π is a regular parameter in B , having no common factors with f . Let $B' = B/\pi B$. Then for the image $(\eta - \rho)'$ of $\eta - \rho$ in $\mathcal{F}(B')$ we have $(\eta - \rho)'_f = 0 \in \mathcal{F}(B'_f)$. By the inductive hypotheses one has $(\eta - \rho)' = 0 \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$. Since $\overline{(\eta - \rho)} = \overline{(\eta - \rho)'} \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$, one has $\bar{\eta} = \bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$.

Proof of Lemma 11.0.35.

Choose an $f \in R$ such that ξ is defined over R_f . Then ξ is ramified at most at those hight one primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ which contains f . Since the class $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}(L)$ is R -unramified there exists, for any $\mathfrak{p}_i$, an element $\sigma_i \in G(L)$ and an element $\xi_i \in C(R_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$ such that $\xi = \mu(\sigma_i)\xi_i \in C(L)$. We may assume that ξ_i is defined over R_{h_i} for some $h_i \in R - \mathfrak{p}_i$ and that σ_i is defined over R_{g_i} for some $g_i \in R$.

We can find an index α such that A_α contains lifts $f_\alpha, h_{1,\alpha}, \dots, h_{r,\alpha}, g_{1,\alpha}, \dots, g_{r,\alpha}$ and moreover

- (1) $C(A_{\alpha, f_\alpha})$ contains a lift ξ_α of ξ ,
- (2) $C(A_{\alpha, h_{i,\alpha}})$ contains a lift of $\xi_{i,\alpha}$ of ξ_i ,
- (3) $G(A_{\alpha, g_{i,\alpha}})$ contains a lift of $\sigma_{i,\alpha}$ of σ_i .

Since none of the $f_\alpha, h_{1,\alpha}, \dots, h_{r,\alpha}, g_{1,\alpha}, \dots, g_{r,\alpha}$ vanishes in R , the elements $\xi_\alpha, \xi_{1,\alpha}, \dots, \xi_{ir,\alpha}$ and $\sigma_{1,\alpha}, \dots, \sigma_{r,\alpha}$ may be regarded as elements of $C(B_\alpha)$ and $G(B_\alpha)$ respectively.

We know that $\xi_{i,\alpha}\mu(\sigma_{i,\alpha})$ and ξ_α map to the same element in $C(L)$. Hence replacing α by a larger index, we may assume that $\xi_\alpha = \xi_{i,\alpha}\mu(\sigma_{i,\alpha}) \in C(B_\alpha)$. We claim that the class

$\bar{\xi}_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(K_\alpha)$ is A_α -unramified. To prove this note that the only primes at which $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$ could be ramified are those which divide f_α . Let $\mathfrak{q}_\alpha$ be one of them. Check that $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}_\alpha$. Let $q_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ be a prime element such that $q_\alpha A_\alpha = \mathfrak{q}_\alpha$. Then $q_\alpha r_\alpha = f_\alpha$ for an element r_α . Thus $qr = f \in R$ for the images of q_α and r_α in R . Since the homomorphism $\varphi_\alpha : A_\alpha \rightarrow R$ is local, $q \in \mathfrak{m}_R$. The relation $qr = f$ shows that $q \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some index i . Thus $q_\alpha \in \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ and $\mathfrak{q}_\alpha \subset \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$. On the other hand $h_{i,\alpha} \in A_\alpha - \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$, because $h_i \in R - \mathfrak{p}_i$. Thus $h_{i,\alpha} \in A_\alpha - \mathfrak{q}_\alpha$. Now the relation $\xi_\alpha = \xi_{i,\alpha} \mu(\sigma_{i,\alpha}) \in C(B_\alpha)$ with $\xi_{i,\alpha} \in C(A_{\alpha,h_{i,\alpha}})$ shows that $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}_\alpha$. Thus $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$ is unramified at each height one prime in A_α containing f_α . Since $\xi_\alpha \in C(A_{\alpha,f_\alpha})$ we conclude that $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$ is A_α -unramified. The lemma follows. The theorem is proved. $\square$

12 Applications

In this Section we prove another purity theorem for reductive group schemes. Let k be an infinite field. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the semi-local ring of finitely many points on a smooth irreducible k -variety X and let K be its field of fractions of $\mathcal{O}$. Let G be a semi-simple $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme. Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow G$ be a closed subgroup scheme of the center $\text{Cent}(G)$. **It is known that Z is of multiplicative type.** Let $G' = G/Z$ be the factor group, $\pi : G \rightarrow G'$ be the projection. It is known that π is finite surjective and strictly flat. Thus the sequence of $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes

$$\{1\} \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\pi} G' \rightarrow \{1\} \quad (31)$$

induces an exact sequence of group sheaves in fppt-topology. Thus for every $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra R the sequence (31) gives rise to a boundary operator

$$\delta_{\pi,R} : G'(R) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(R, Z) \quad (32)$$

One can check that it is a group homomorphism (compare [Se, Ch.II, §5.6, Cor.2]). Set

$$\mathcal{F}(R) = H_{\text{ftpt}}^1(R, Z) / \text{Im}(\delta_{\pi,R}). \quad (33)$$

Clearly we get a functor on the category of $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras.

Theorem 12.0.36. *The functor $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies purity for the ring $\mathcal{O}$ above. If K is the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}$ this statement can be restated in an explicit way as follows: given an element $\xi \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, Z)$ suppose that for each height 1 prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathcal{O}$ there exists $\xi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, Z)$, $g_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G'(K)$ with $\xi = \xi_{\mathfrak{p}} + \delta_{\pi}(g_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, Z)$. Then there exists $\xi_{\mathfrak{m}} \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z)$, $g_{\mathfrak{m}} \in G'(K)$, such that*

$$\xi = \xi_{\mathfrak{m}} + \delta_{\pi}(g_{\mathfrak{m}}) \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, Z).$$

Proof. The group Z is of multiplicative type. So we can find a finite étale $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra A and a closed embedding $Z \hookrightarrow R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,A})$ into the permutation torus $T^+ = R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,A})$.

Let $G^+ = (G \times T^+)/Z$ and $T = T^+/Z$, where Z is embedded in $G \times T^+$ diagonally. Clearly $G^+/G = T$. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \{1\} & & \{1\} & & \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
& & G' & \xrightarrow{id} & G' & & \\
& & \uparrow \pi & & \uparrow \pi^+ & & \\
\{1\} & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{j^+} & G^+ & \xrightarrow{\mu^+} & T \longrightarrow \{1\} \\
& & \uparrow i & & \uparrow i^+ & & \uparrow id \\
\{1\} & \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{j} & T^+ & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T \longrightarrow \{1\} \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
& & \{1\} & & \{1\} & &
\end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. By 13.0.37 and Hilbert 90 for the semi-local $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra A one has $H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,A}) = \{*\}$. So, the latter diagram gives rise to a commutative diagram of pointed sets

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G') & \xrightarrow{id} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G') & & \\
& & \uparrow \pi_* & & \uparrow \pi_*^+ & & \\
G^+(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{O}}^+} & T(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{O}}^+} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) & \xrightarrow{j_*^+} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G^+) \\
& & \uparrow id & & \uparrow i_* & & \uparrow i_*^+ \\
T^+(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{O}}} & T(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{O}}} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \{*\} \\
& & \uparrow \delta_{\pi} & & \uparrow & & \\
& & G'(\mathcal{O}) & & & &
\end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. It follows that π_*^+ has trivial kernel and one has a chain of group isomorphisms

$$H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_{\pi, \mathcal{O}}) = \ker(\pi_*) = \ker(j_*^+) = T(\mathcal{O})/\mu^+(G^+(\mathcal{O})).$$

Clearly these isomorphisms respect $\mathcal{O}$ -homomorphisms of semi-local $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras.

The morphism $\mu^+ : G^+ \rightarrow T$ is a smooth $\mathcal{O}$ -morphism of reductive $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes, with the torus T . The kernel $\ker(\mu^+)$ is equal to G and G is a reductive $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme. The functor $\mathcal{O}' \mapsto T(\mathcal{O}')/\mu^+(G^+(\mathcal{O}'))$ satisfies purity for the regular semi-local $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}$ by Theorem (A). Hence the functor $\mathcal{O}' \mapsto H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}', Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_{\pi, \mathcal{O}'})$ satisfies purity for $\mathcal{O}$. $\square$

13 Proof of Theorems 1.0.1 and 1.0.2

Proof of Theorem 1.0.2. Consider a short sequence of reductive $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes

$$\{1\} \rightarrow G_{der} \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\mu} C \rightarrow \{1\}, \quad (34)$$

where G_{der} is the derived $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme of G and $C = corad(G)$ be a tori over $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mu = f_0$ (see [D-G, Exp.XXII, Thm.6.2.1]). By that Theorem the morphism μ is smooth and its kernel is the reductive $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme G_{der} . Prove that

$$ker[H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^1(K, G)] = * \quad (35)$$

provided that one has

$$ker[H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}, G_{der}) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^1(K, G_{der})] = * \quad (36)$$

The sequence (34) of $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes gives a short exact sequence of the corresponding sheaves in the étale topology on the big étale site. That sequence of sheaves gives rise to a commutative diagram with exact arrows of pointed sets

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C(\mathcal{O})/\mu(G(\mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}, G_{der}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}, C) \\ & & \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \delta \\ \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mu(G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, G_{der}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, G) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, C) \\ & & \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} & & \downarrow \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} & & \downarrow \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C(K)/\mu(G(K)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(K, G_{der}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(K, G) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(K, C) \end{array} \quad (37)$$

Here $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ is a hight one prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}$. Set $\alpha_K = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \alpha$, $\beta_K = \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \beta$, $\gamma_K = \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \gamma$, $\delta_K = \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \delta$. By a theorem of Nisnevich [Ni] one has

$$ker(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}) = ker(\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}) = ker(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = * \quad (38)$$

Let $\xi \in ker(\gamma_K)$, then $\mu(\xi) \in ker(\delta_K)$. By [C-T/S] one has $ker(\delta_K) = *$, whence $\mu(\xi) = *$ and $\xi = i_*(\zeta)$ for an $\zeta \in H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}, G_{der})$. Since $\gamma_K(\xi) = *$ and $ker(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = *$ we see that $\gamma(\xi) = *$. Whence $i_*(\beta(\zeta)) = *$ and $\beta(\zeta) = \partial(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for an $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} \in C(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mu(G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. A diagram chase shows that there exists a unique element $\epsilon_K \in C(K)/\mu(G(K))$ for each hight one prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ one has $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \epsilon_K \in C(K)/\mu(G(K))$. By Purity Theorem 1.0.4 there exists an element $\epsilon \in C(\mathcal{O})/\mu(G(\mathcal{O}))$ such that $\alpha_K(\epsilon) = \epsilon_K$. The element ϵ_K has the property that $\partial(\epsilon_K) = \beta_K(\zeta)$. Whence $\partial(\epsilon) = \zeta$ and $\xi = i(\partial(\epsilon)) = *$. The proof of equality (35) is reduced to the proof of the equality (36).

To prove (36) recall that G_{der} is a semi-simple $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme. Let G_{der}^{sc} be the corresponding simply-connected semi-simple $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme and let $\pi : G_{der}^{sc} \rightarrow G_{der}$ be the corresponding $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme morphism. Let $Z = ker(\pi)$. It is known that Z is

contained in the center $\text{Cent}(G_{der}^{sc})$ of G_{der}^{sc} and Z is a finite group scheme of multiplicative type. It is known that $G_{der} = G_{der}^{sc}/Z$ and π is finite surjective and strictly flat. By the Theorem 12.0.36 the functor

$$\mathcal{F}(R) = H_{\text{fppt}}^1(R, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_{\pi, R}) = H_{\text{fppt}}^1(R, Z)/\partial(G_{der}(R)).$$

satisfies purity for the ring $\mathcal{O}$. The following result is known (see [Gr3, Thm.11.7])

Lemma 13.0.37. *Let R be a noetherian ring. Then for a reductive R -group scheme H and for $n = 0, 1$ the canonical map $H_{\text{ét}}^n(R, H) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^n(R, H)$ is a bijection of pointed sets. For a R -torus T and for each integer $n \geq 0$ the canonical map $H_{\text{ét}}^n(R, T) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^n(R, T)$ is an isomorphism.*

Lemma 13.0.38. *For the ring $\mathcal{O}$ above one has $\ker[H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, Z) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^2(K, Z)] = *$.*

Proof. In fact, consider the closed embedding $Z \hookrightarrow R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_{m, A})$ into the permutation torus $T^+ = R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_{m, A})$ from Theorem 12.0.36. Set $T = T^+/Z$. The short sequence of $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes $1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow T^+ \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1$ gives rise to a short exact sequence of group sheaves in the fppt-topology. That sequence in turn gives rise to a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T^+) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, Z) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, T^+) \quad (39)$$

Firstly note that $H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_{m, A}) = 0$, since A is semi-local. Secondly note that $H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^2(A, \mathbb{G}_{m, A})$. The map $H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, T)$ is injective by Lemma 13.0.37 and [C-T/S]. The homomorphism $H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, T^+) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^2(K, T^+)$ coincides with the map $H_{\text{ét}}^2(A, \mathbb{G}_{m, A}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K, \mathbb{G}_{m, A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K})$. The latter map is injective, since A is regular semi-local of geometric type (see [Gr2, ??]). Now a diagram chase completes the proof of the Lemma. $\square$

The exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes

$$\{1\} \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{i} G_{der}^{sc} \xrightarrow{\pi} G_{der} \rightarrow \{1\}, \quad (40)$$

give rises to an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z)/\partial(G_{der}(\mathcal{O})) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G_{der}^{sc}) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G_{der}) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, Z) \quad (41)$$

and furthermore to a commutative diagram like (42) with exact arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z)/\partial(G_{der}(\mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G_{der}^{sc}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G_{der}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, Z) \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \delta \\ H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, Z)/\partial(G_{der}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})) & \xrightarrow{i'_*} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, G_{der}^{sc}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, G_{der}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, Z) \\ \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} & & \downarrow \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} & & \downarrow \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, Z)/\partial(G_{der}(K)) & \xrightarrow{i''_*} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, G_{der}^{sc}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, G_{der}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, Z) \end{array} \quad (42)$$

Here $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ is a hight one prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}$. The maps i_* , i'_* and i''_* are injective (compare ([Se, Ch.I, Sect.5, Prop.39])). Set $\alpha_K = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \alpha$, $\beta_K = \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \beta$, $\gamma_K = \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \gamma$, $\delta_K = \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \delta$. By a theorem of Nisnevich [Ni] and Lemma 13.0.37 one has

$$\ker(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \ker(\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \ker(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = * . \quad (43)$$

By the main result of [PSV, Thm.1.1] and Lemma 13.0.37 one has

$$\ker[H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G_{\text{der}}^{sc}) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, G_{\text{der}}^{sc})] = * . \quad (44)$$

By Lemma 13.0.38 the map δ_K is injective. As mentioned right above Lemma 13.0.37 the functor $\mathcal{F}(R) = H_{\text{fppt}}^1(R, Z)/\partial(G_{\text{der}}(R))$ satisfies purity for the ring $\mathcal{O}$.

Now a diagram chaise similar to the one above in this proof shows that

$$\ker[H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G_{\text{der}}) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, G_{\text{der}})] = * .$$

Lemma 13.0.38 completes the proof of Theorem 1.0.2. □

Proof of Theorem 1.0.1. Repeat literally the proof of Theorem 1.0.2. □

14 Examples

We follow here the notation of The Book of Involutions [KMRT]. The field k is a characteristic zero field. The functors (45) to (59) satisfy purity for regular local rings containing k as follows either from Theorem 12.0.36 or from Theorem (A).

- (1) Let G be a simple algebraic group over the field k , Z a central subgroup, $G' = G/Z$, $\pi : G \rightarrow G'$ the canonical morphism. For any k -algebra A let $\delta_{\pi, R} : G'(R) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)$ be the boundary operator. One has a functor

$$R \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_{\pi, R}). \quad (45)$$

- (2) Let (A, σ) be a finite separable k -algebra with an orthogonal involution. Let $\pi : \text{Spin}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \text{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$ be the canonical morphism of the spinor k -group scheme to the projective orthogonal k -group scheme. Let $Z = \ker(\pi)$. For a k -algebra R let $\delta_R : \text{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)(R) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)$ be the boundary operator. One has a functor

$$R \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_R). \quad (46)$$

In (2a) and (2b) below we describe this functor somewhat more explicitly following [KMRT].

- (2a) Let $C(A, \sigma)$ be the Clifford algebra. Its center l is an étale quadratic k -algebra. Assume that $\deg(A)$ is divisible by 4. Let $\Omega(A, \sigma)$ be the extended Clifford group [KMRT, Definition given just below (13.19)]. Let $\underline{\sigma}$ be the canonical involution of $C(A, \sigma)$ as it is described in [KMRT, just above (8.11)]. Then $\underline{\sigma}$ is either orthogonal or symplectic by [KMRT, Prop.8.12]. Let $\underline{\mu} : \Omega(A, \sigma) \rightarrow R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$ be the multiplier map defined in [KMRT, just above (13.25)] by $\underline{\mu}(\omega) = \underline{\sigma}(\omega) \cdot \omega$. Set $R_l = R \otimes_k l$. For a field or a local ring R one has $H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)/Im(\delta_R) = R_l^\times / \underline{\mu}(\Omega(A, \sigma)(R))$ by [KMRT, the diagram in (13.32)]. Consider the functor

$$R \mapsto R_l^\times / \underline{\mu}(\Omega(A, \sigma)(R)). \quad (47)$$

It coincides with the functor $R \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)/Im(\delta_R)$ on local rings containing k .

- (2b) Now let $\deg(A) = 2m$ with odd m . Let $\tau : l \rightarrow l$ be the involution of l/k . The kernel of the morphism $R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l}) \xrightarrow{id-\tau} R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$ coincides with $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. Thus $id-\tau$ induces a k -group scheme morphism which we denote $\overline{id-\tau} : R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})/\mathbb{G}_{m,k} \hookrightarrow R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$. Let $\underline{\mu} : \Omega(A, \sigma) \rightarrow R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$ be the multiplier map defined in [KMRT, just above (13.25)] by $\underline{\mu}(\omega) = \underline{\sigma}(\omega) \cdot \omega$. Let $\kappa : \Omega(A, \sigma) \rightarrow R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})/\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ be the k -group scheme morphism described in [KMRT, Prop.13.21]. The composition $(\overline{id-\tau}) \circ \kappa$ lands in $R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$. Let $U \subset \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \times R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$ be a closed k -subgroup consisting of all (α, z) such that $\alpha^4 = N_{l/k}(z)$.

Set $\mu_* = (\underline{\mu}, [(\overline{id-\tau}) \circ \kappa] \cdot \underline{\mu}2) : \Omega(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \times R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$. This k -group scheme morphism lands in U . So we get a k -group scheme morphism $\mu_* : \Omega(A, \sigma) \rightarrow U$. On the level of k -rational points it coincides with the one described in [KMRT, just above (13.35)]. For a field or a local ring one has

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)/Im(\delta_R) = U(R)/[\{(N_{l/k}(\alpha), \alpha^4) | \alpha \in R_l^\times\} \cdot \mu_*(\Omega(A, \sigma)(R))].$$

Consider the the functor

$$R \mapsto U(R)/[\{(N_{l/k}(\alpha), \alpha^4) | \alpha \in R_l^\times\} \cdot \mu_*(\Omega(A, \sigma)(R))]. \quad (48)$$

It coincides with the functor $R \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)/Im(\delta_R)$ on local rings containing k .

- (3) Let $\Gamma(A, \sigma)$ be the Clifford group k -scheme of (A, σ) . Let $\text{Sn} : \Gamma(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ be the spinor norm map. It is dominant. Consider the functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \text{Sn}(\Gamma(A, \sigma)(R)). \quad (49)$$

Purity for this functor was originally proved in [Z, Thm.3.1]. In fact, $\Gamma(A, \sigma)$ is k -rational.

- (4) We follow here the Book of Involutions [KMRT, §23]. Let A be a separable finite dimensional k -algebra with center l and k -involution σ such that k coincides with all

σ -invariant elements of l , that is $k = l^\sigma$. Consider the k -group schemes of similitudes of (A, σ) :

$$\mathrm{Sim}(A, \sigma)(R) = \{a \in A_R^\times \mid a \cdot \sigma_R(a) \in l_K^\times\}.$$

We have a k -group scheme morphism $\mu : \mathrm{Sim}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$, $a \mapsto a \cdot \sigma(a)$. It gives an exact sequence of algebraic k -groups

$$\{1\} \rightarrow \mathrm{Iso}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sim}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \rightarrow \{1\}.$$

One has a the functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \mu(\mathrm{Sim}(A, \sigma)(R)). \quad (50)$$

Purity for this functor was originally proved in [Pa, Thm.1.2]. Various particular cases are obtained considering unitary, symplectic and orthogonal involutions.

- (4a) In the case of an orthogonal involution σ the connected component $\mathrm{GO}^+(A, \sigma)$ [KMRT, (12.24)] of the similitude k -group scheme $\mathrm{GO}(A, \sigma) := \mathrm{Sim}(A, \sigma)$ has the index two in $\mathrm{GO}(A, \sigma)$. The restriction of μ to $\mathrm{GO}^+(A, \sigma)$ is still a dominant morphism to $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. One has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \mu(\mathrm{GO}^+(A, \sigma)(R)) \quad (51)$$

It seems that its purity does not follow from [Pa, Thm.1.2]. In fact we do not know whether the norm principle holds for $\mu : \mathrm{GO}^+(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ or not.

- (5) Let A be a central simple algebra (csa) over k and $\mathrm{Nrd} : \mathrm{GL}_{1,A} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ the reduced norm morphism. One has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \mathrm{Nrd}(\mathrm{GL}_{1,A}(R)). \quad (52)$$

Purity for this functor was originally proved in [C-T/O, Thm.5.2].

- (6) Let (A, σ) be a finite separable k -algebra with a unitary involution such that its center l is a quadratic extension of k . Let $U(A, \sigma)$ be the unitary k -group scheme. Let $U_l(1)$ be an algebraic tori given by $N_{l/k} = 1$. One has a functor

$$R \mapsto U_l(1)(R) / \mathrm{Nrd}(U_{A,\sigma}(R)) = \{\alpha \in R_l^\times \mid N_{l/k}(\alpha) = 1\} / \mathrm{Nrd}(U_{A,\sigma}(R)) \quad (53)$$

where Nrd is the reduced norm map. Purity for this functor was originally proved in [Z, Thm.3.3].

- (7) With the notation of example (5) choose an integer d and consider the k -group scheme morphism $\mu : \mathrm{GL}_{1,A} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ given by $(\alpha, a) \mapsto \mathrm{Nrd}(\alpha) \cdot a^d$. One has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \mu[\mathrm{GL}_{1,A} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,k}](R) = R^\times / \mathrm{Nrd}(A_R^\times) \cdot R^{\times d} \quad (54)$$

Purity for this functor was originally proved in [Z, Thm.3.2].

- (8) With the notation of example (5) choose an integer d and consider the functor

$$R \mapsto U_l(1)(R)/[\text{Nrd}(U_{A,\sigma}(R)) \cdot \{\alpha \in R_l^\times \mid N_{l/k}(\alpha) = 1\}^d] \quad (55)$$

Purity for this functor was originally proved in [Z, Thm.3.2].

- (9) Let G_1, G_2, C be affine k -group schemes. Assume that C is commutative and let $\mu_1 : G_1 \rightarrow C$, $\mu_2 : G_2 \rightarrow C$ be k -group scheme morphisms and $\mu : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow C$ be given by $\mu(g_1, g_2) = \mu_1(g_1) \cdot \mu_2(g_2)$. One has a functor

$$R \mapsto C(R)/\mu[(G_1 \times G_2)(R)] = C(R)/[\mu_1(G_1(R)) \cdot \mu_2(G_2(R))] \quad (56)$$

In this style one could get a lot of curious examples of functors, one of which is given here.

- (10) Let (A_1, σ_1) be a finite separable k -algebra with an orthogonal involution. Let A_2 be a csa over k . Let μ_1 be the multiplier map for (A_1, σ_1) and Nrd_2 be the reduced norm for A_2 . One has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / [\mu_1(\text{GO}^+(A_1, \sigma_1)(R)) \cdot \text{Nrd}_2(A_{2,R}^\times) \cdot R^{\times d}]. \quad (57)$$

- (10) Let A be a csa of degree 3 over k , Nrd the reduced norm and Trd be the reduced trace. Consider the cubic form on the 27-dimensional k -vector space $A \times A \times A$ given by $N := \text{Nrd}(x) + \text{Nrd}(y) + \text{Nrd}(z) - \text{Trd}(xyz)$. Let $\text{Iso}(A, N)$ be the k -group scheme of isometries of N and $\text{Sim}(N)$ be the k -group scheme of similitudes of N . It is known that $\text{Iso}(N)$ is a normal algebraic subgroup in $\text{Sim}(A, N)$ and the factor group coincides with $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. So we have a canonical k -group morphism (the multiplier) $\mu : \text{Sim}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. Now one has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \mu(\text{Sim}(N)(R)). \quad (58)$$

Note that the connected component of $\text{Iso}(N)$ is a simply connected algebraic k -group of the type E_6 .

- (11) Let (A, σ) be a csa of degree 8 over k with a symplectic involution. Let $V \subset A$ be the subspace of all skew-symmetric elements. It is of dimension 28. Let Pfd be the reduced Pfaffian on V and Trd be the reduced trace on A . Consider the degree 4 form on the space $V \times V$ given by $F := \text{Pfr}(x) + \text{Pfr}(y) - 1/4 \text{Trd}((xy)^2) - 1/16 \text{Trd}(xy)^2$. Consider the symplectic form on $V \times V$ given by $\phi((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \text{Trd}(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1)$. Let $\text{Iso}(F)$ (resp. $\text{Iso}(\phi)$) be the k -group scheme of isometries of the pair F (resp. of ϕ). Let $\text{Sim}(F)$ (resp. $\text{Sim}(\phi)$) be the k -group scheme of similitudes of F (resp. of ϕ). Set $G = \text{Iso}(F) \cap \text{Iso}(\phi)$ and $G^+ = \text{Sim}(F) \cap \text{Sim}(\phi)$. It is known that G is a normal algebraic subgroup in G^+ and the factor group coincides with $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. So we have a canonical k -group morphism $\mu : G^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. Now one has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \mu(G^+(R)). \quad (59)$$

Note that G is a simply-connected group of the type E_7 .

References

- [A] *Artin, M.* Comparaison avec la cohomologie classique: cas d'un préschéma lisse. Lect. Notes Math., vol. 305, Exp XI.
- [C-T/O] *Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.; Ojanguren, M.* Espaces Principaux Homogènes Localement Triviaux, Publ. Math. IHES 75, no. 2, 97–122 (1992).
- [C-T/P/S] *Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.; Parimala, R.; Sridaran, R.* Un théorème de pureté local, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 309, ser.I, 857–862 (1989).
- [C-T/S] *Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.; Sansuc, J.-J.* Principal homogeneous spaces under flasque tori: Applications, Journal of Algebra, 106 (1987), 148–205.
- [Ch] *V. Chernousov*, Variations on a theme of groups splitting by a quadratic extension and Grothendieck-Serre conjecture for group schemes F_4 with trivial g_3 invariant, Preprint Server: Linear Algebraic Groups and Related Structures, 354 (2009).
- [ChP] *V. Chernousov, I. Panin* Purity of G_2 -torsors. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 345 (2007), no. 6, 307–312.
- [D-G] *Demazure, Grothendieck.* Structure des schémas en groupes réductifs. Lect. Notes Math., vol 153.
- [E] *Eisenbud, D.* Commutative Algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 150, Springer (1994).
- [Gr1] *Grothendieck, A.* Torsion homologique et section rationnelles, in *Anneaux de Chow et applications*, Séminaire Chevalley, 2-e année, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1958.
- [Gr2] *Grothendieck, A.* Le group de Brauer II, in *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie de schémas*, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1968.
- [Gr3] *Grothendieck, A.* Le group de Brauer III: Exemples et compléments, in *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie de schémas*, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1968.
- [KMRT] *Knus, M.A.; Merkurjev, A.S.; Rost, M.; Tignol, J.-P.*, The Book of Involutions, Colloquium Publication, no.44, AMS, 1998.
- [H] *Harder, G.* Halbeinfache Gruppenschemata über Dedekindringen, Inventiones Math. 4, 165–191 (1967).
- [Ni] *Nisnevich, Y.* Rationally Trivial Principal Homogeneous Spaces and Arithmetic of Reductive Group Schemes Over Dedekind Rings, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série I, 299, no. 1, 5–8 (1984).

- [Oj] *Ojanguren, M.* Quadratic forms over regular rings, J. Indian Math. Soc., 44, 109–116 (1980).
- [OP] *Ojanguren, M; Panin, I.* A purity theorem for the Witt group. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 32, no. 1, 71–86 (1999).
- [OP1] *Ojanguren, M; Panin, I.* Rationally trivial hermitian spaces are locally trivial. Math. Z. 237 (2001), 181–198.
- [OPZ] *Ojanguren, M; Panin, I.; Zainoulline, K.* On the norm principle for quadratic forms. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 19, No.4 (2004) 1–12.
- [PS] *Panin, I.; Suslin, A.* On a conjecture of Grothendieck concerning Azumaya algebras, St.Petersburg Math. J., 9, no.4, 851–858 (1998).
- [PSV] *Panin, I.; Stavrova A.; Vavilov, N.* On Grothendieck—Serre’s conjecture concerning principal G -bundles over reductive group schemes I, Preprint (2009), <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/>
- [P] *Popescu, D.* General Néron desingularization and approximation, Nagoya Math. Journal, 104 (1986), 85–115.
- [Pa] *Panin, I.* Purity for multipliers, in Proceedings of the Silver Jubilee Conference University of Hyderabad (Ed. R.Tandon) Algebra and Number Theory, pp.66–89
- [PaPS] *Panin, I.; Petrov, V.; Stavrova, A.* On Grothendieck—Serre’s for simple adjoint group schemes of types E_6 and E_7 . Preprint (2009), <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/>
- [PaSV] *Panin, I.; Stavrova, A.; Vavilov A.* Grothendieck—Serre conjecture I: Appendix, Preprint October, 2009 <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/0910.5465>
- [R1] *Raghunathan, M.S.* Principal bundles admitting a rational section. (English) Invent. Math. 116, No.1–3, 409–423 (1994).
- [R2] *Raghunathan, M.S.* Erratum: Principal bundles admitting a rational section. (English) Invent. Math. 121, No.1, 223 (1995).
- [RR] *Raghunathan, M.S.; Ramanathan, A.* Principal bundles on the affine line. (English) Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci. 93, 137–145 (1984).
- [R] *Rost, M.* Durch Normengruppen definierte birationale Invarianten. C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 10, ser.I, 189–192 (1990).
- [Q] *Quillen, D.* Higher algebraic K -theory I, in Algebraic K-Theory I. Lect. Notes in Math. 341, Springer-Verlag, 1973.

- [Se] *Serre, J.-P.* Espaces fibrés algébriques, in *Anneaux de Chow et applications*, Séminaire Chevalley, 2-e année, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1958.

- [SuVo] *Suslin, A.; Voevodsky, V.* Singular homology of abstract algebraic varieties. Invent. Math. 123 (1996), no. 1, 61–94.

- [Sw] *Swan, R.G.* Néron-Popescu desingularization, Algebra and Geometry (Taipei, 1995), Lect. Algebra Geom. Vol. 2, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998, pp. 135–192.

- [Z] *Zainoulline, K.* The Purity Problem for Functors with Transfers, K-theory, 22 (2001), no.4, 303-333.

- [Vo] *Voevodsky, V.* Cohomological theory of presheaves with transfers. In Cycles, Transfers, and Motivic Homology Theories. Ann. Math. Studies, 2000, Princeton University Pres.